



# **ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA**

## **TECHNOLOGY NEEDS ASSESSMENT**

## **REPORT III**

## **TECHNOLOGY ACTION PLAN**

FOR

## CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION & MITIGATION

## - WATER, BUILDING AND TRANSPORT SECTORS -

## **MARCH 2022**









t copenhagen climate centre



supported by 🛞 UNOPS

Copyright © 2020 Department of the Environment, Ministry of Health, Wellness and the Environment, Government of Antigua and Barbuda and UNEP Copenhagen Climate Centre.

This publication is an output of the Technology Needs Assessment project, funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and implemented by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UNEP DTU Partnership (UDP) in collaboration with The University of the West Indies – Mona. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of UNEP Copenhagen Climate Centre, UNEP or The University of the West Indies - Mona. We regret any errors or omissions that may have been unwittingly made. This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit services without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. No use of this publication may be made for resale or any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from the UNEP Copenhagen Climate Centre.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Technology Action Plan (TAP) is the final step in the Technology Needs Assessment (TNA) process. Sectoral TAPs presented in this report were developed from the results of the two previous steps and consultations held with Technology Working Groups (TWGs). In the first step, a list of adaptation and mitigation technologies were selected, prioritized and presented in the TNA Report. Barriers to increased diffusion of prioritized technologies were identified through research and stakeholder consultations, and overcoming measures were outlined in the **B**arrier **A**nalysis and **E**nabling Framework (BAEF) Report. Each TAP serves as the roadmap for the deployment of the prioritized technologies.

The three sectors selected for Antigua and Barbuda's TNA process were **water**, **building** and **transportation**, and a total of ten (10) technologies were approved. These included solar pumping systems, rainwater harvesting, water saving devices, climate-proofing assets, stormwater reclamation and reuse and atmospheric water generators – for the water sector; Light Emitting Diodes (LED) bulbs and best pitch roof angle – for the building sector; and efficiency in transportation, solar charging stations and electric vehicles (EVs) – for the transport sector. However, to achieve the low emission benefits of EVs, renewable energy systems must be used to charge them; therefore, electric vehicles and solar charging stations were combined for deployment as a single technology in the TAP.

The complete list of technologies prioritized for Antigua and Barbuda identified the State's need for increased research, education and awareness, financing, cross-sectoral reform and/or collaboration and technical training. The measures in the BAEF step broadly addressed these needs and the enabling framework outlined potential actions that were further developed into sub-activities during consultations for the TAP. Where the framework included overlapping actions, efforts were combined into activities that would maximize human and financial resources.

The TAP followed a similar participatory process as the two preceding TNA steps. Multiple stakeholders, public and private sector representatives and the TNA Steering Committee all weighed in to ensure that the roadmaps were appropriate for the Antiguan and Barbudan context and would achieve successful widescale adoption. Sectoral TWGs were reassembled to re-examine the full range of enabling measures and determine viable, concrete actions for the TAP. A three-point rating system (1 = High; 2 = Medium; 3 = Low) was used to categorize the measures and decide where focus should be given for developing activities for technology deployment. The main guiding criteria used to rate the measures were:

- *a. cost and benefits* do the expected benefits outweigh the proposed social, environmental and economic costs;
- b. effectiveness how well does the actions contribute to the implementation target; and
- *c.* suitability for the Antiguan and Barbudan context what is the anticipated level of acceptability in the local context.

The highest ranked measures were redefined as concrete actions and each was broken down into a series of timebound and costed sub-activities.

#### Water Sector

The Action Plan developed for the six (6) water sector technologies is summarized in the Table below.

| Actions   | SCOPE / TARGET  | TIMELINE                   | Approximate<br>Investment   |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| SOLAR PUMPING SYSTEMS   |   |                            |   |  |  |  |
| Establish a Solar Water<br>Professionals Business<br>Association to promote<br>commercial interest and<br>provide a pool of specialists;<br>Launch special incentive<br>periods for local suppliers to<br>invest in solar pumping<br>business opportunities;<br>Launch a special incentive<br>period for the private and<br>public sector to acquire solar<br>pumping equipment; and<br>Develop upskilling<br>programmes for plumbing and<br>electrical technicians | 5% of population  <br>1,500 households<br>AND<br>10 small to medium<br>sized farms  | Forty-eight (48)<br>months | USD 1 500 000   XCD<br>4 032 300,(project<br>costs)<br>AND<br>USD 550 000   XCD 1<br>478 510 (private<br>sector investment) |  |  |  |
|   | RAINWATER HARVESTING  |                            |   |  |  |  |
| Pilot up to five (5) new<br>rainwater storage options;<br>Launch an Innovators<br>Competition to design and<br>demonstrate novel low-cost<br>rainwater storage options;<br>Strengthen technical capacity<br>of registered NGOs and<br>community groups in proposal<br>preparation, project planning,<br>design, coordination, and<br>financial management; and<br>Rehabilitate community<br>cisterns with storage,  | 10% of population  <br>3,000 households<br>AND<br>10 derelict<br>community cisterns | Sixty (60) months          | USD 3 500 000   XCD<br>9 408 700 (project<br>costs)   |  |  |  |

| Actions   | SCOPE / TARGET   | TIMELINE                   | Approximate<br>Investment   |
|---|--|----------------------------|---|
| distribution, and income generation streams   |  |                            |   |
|   | WATER SAVING D   | EVICES                     |   |
| Develop and implement a<br>water efficiency labelling<br>system that rates devices<br>based on volumes of water<br>saved; and<br>Design an educational Water<br>Usage Chart that shows<br>indicative uses of water around<br>the property and<br>complementary water<br>conservation tips | 5% of population  <br>1,500 households<br>AND<br>25% of businesses<br>(opting to invest in<br>water efficient<br>equipment / devices<br>on their next<br>purchase) | Thirty-six (36)<br>months  | USD 165 000   XCD<br>443 553  |
|   | CLIMATE-PROOFING   | Assets                     |   |
| Develop a longterm climate<br>change <b>R</b> isk <b>M</b> anagement <b>P</b> lan<br>(RMP) for the Water Utility;<br>Promote greater financial  | Climate-proof six (6)<br>reverse osmosis<br>facilities   | Sixty (60) months          | USD 2 000 000   XCD<br>5 376 400 (project<br>costs)<br>AND  |
| independence of Utility,<br>training the Utility's <b>P</b> roject<br><b>M</b> anagement staff in project<br>proposal preparation, and  |  |                            | USD 3 000 000   XCD<br>8 064 600<br>(rehabilitating RO<br>facilities)   |
| Develop a GIS map of Utility<br>dependent zones /<br>communities across Antigua<br>and Barbuda  |  |                            |   |
| S   | TORMWATER REHABILITAT  | ION AND REUSE              |   |
| Partner with local heavy<br>equipment / earthworks<br>companies;<br>Provide training and<br>certification for local heavy<br>equipment operators; and<br>Develop a comprehensive<br>sustainable procurement plan<br>for equipment and materials   | Create the enabling<br>environment to allow<br>the Water Utility to<br>extract an additional<br>0.3mgd of<br>groundwater   | Forty-eight (48)<br>months | USD 500 000   XCD 1<br>344 100 (project costs)<br>AND<br>USD 3 000 000   XCD<br>8 064 600<br>(construction works) |
|   | ATMOSPHERIC WATER G  | ENERATORS                  | 1   |
| Incentivise local businesses to<br>establish partnerships with<br>manufacturers;<br>Conduct an education,<br>awareness campaign to<br>engage the general public;<br>Design training to increase<br>capacity in service technicians  | Pilot 150 AWG units<br>in educational and<br>health institutions   | Sixty (60) months          | USD 3 500 000   XCD<br>9 408 700 (project<br>costs)   |

#### **Building Sector**

Similarly, the Action Plan developed for the two (2) building sector technologies is summarized in the Table below.

| Actions  | SCOPE / TARGET   | TIMELINE                   | Approximate<br>Investment  |  |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
|  | LIGHT EMITTING DIO   | DES (LED)                  | 1  |  |
| Launch a LED distribution<br>drive; and<br>Conduct a public awareness<br>program   | Replace 20,000<br>incandescent light<br>bulbs and 10,000<br>CFLs in 5,000 homes                        | Twenty-four (24)<br>months | USD 245,000   XCD<br>658,610 (distribution<br>drive)<br>AND<br>USD 45,000   XCD<br>120,700 (public<br>awareness) |  |
| ROOF PITCH ANGLES  |  |                            |  |  |
| Pilot implementation of roof<br>pitch angles in small scale<br>residences; and<br>Conduct public awareness<br>campaigns and training<br>programs to promote adoption | Pilot the<br>implementation roof<br>pitch angles in 20%<br>of new small scale<br>residential buildings | ~Target is 2030            | USD 103,260  XCD<br>277,585 (project costs)  |  |

#### Transport Sector

The Action Plan developed for the two (2) transport sector technologies is summarized in the Table below.

| Actions   | SCOPE / TARGET  | TIMELINE          | Approximate<br>Investment                        |
|---|---|-------------------|--|
| ELECT   | RIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR                                | CHARGING STATIONS | i<br>i   |
| Retrain a minimum of 10<br>existing mechanics and<br>teachers to repair and service<br>EVs; and             | Annual savings of approximately 56.15 t_CO2_eg        | ~Target 2035      | USD 1.462M   XCD<br>3.95M (project costs)        |
| Develop an installation and<br>maintenance training program<br>for EVs and renewable energy<br>technologies |   |                   |  |
|   | EFFICIENCY IN TRA                                     | NSPORT            |  |
| Provide concessionary<br>financing for low emission<br>vehicles; and  | Annual savings of<br>approximately<br>133,300 tCO2 eq | ~Target is 2030   | USD 1 222 750   XCD 3<br>287 000 (project costs) |
| Establish standards and<br>policies necessary to scale up<br>the use of low emission<br>vehicles            |   |                   |  |

•

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>EXEC</u>   | UTIVE SUMMARYIII   |
|---------------|--|
| <u>TABL</u>   | E OF CONTENTSVIII  |
| <u>LIST (</u> | OF ACRONYMSXI  |
| <u>LIST (</u> | OF TABLESXII   |
| <u>SECT</u>   | ION 1: WATER SECTOR1   |
| <u>1.1</u>    | TECHNOLOGY ACTION PLAN AND PROJECT IDEAS FOR WATER SECTOR        |
|               | 1.1.1 WATER SECTOR OVERVIEW                                      |
|               | 1.1.2 GENERAL BARRIERS AND PROPOSED MEASURES                     |
| <u>1.2</u>    | ACTION PLAN FOR SOLAR PUMPING SYSTEMS                            |
|               | 1.2.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|               | <b>1.2.2</b> Ambition for the TAP                                |
|               | 1.2.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR THE TAP                          |
| <u>1.3</u>    | ACTION PLAN FOR RAINWATER HARVESTING12                           |
|               | 1.3.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|               | 1.3.2 AMBITION FOR THE TAP                                       |
|               | 1.3.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR THE TAP12                        |
| <u>1.4</u>    | ACTION PLAN FOR WATER SAVING DEVICES                             |
|               | 1.4.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|               | 1.4.2 AMBITION FOR THE TAP                                       |
|               | 1.4.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR THE TAP20                        |
| <u>1.5</u>    | ACTION PLAN FOR CLIMATE PROOFING ASSETS                          |
|               | 1.5.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|               | 1.5.2 Ambition for Climate Proofing Assets                       |
|               | 1.5.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR CLIMATE PROOFING ASSETS          |
| <u>1.6</u>    | ACTION PLAN FOR STORMWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE                 |
|               | 1.6.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|               | 1.6.2 Ambition for the Stormwater Reclamation and Reuse          |
|               | 1.6.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR STORMWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE |
| <u>1.7</u>    | ACTION PLAN FOR ATMOSPHERIC WATER GENERATORS                     |

|             | 1.7.1        | INTRODUCTION  |
|-------------|--------------|---|
|             | 1.7.2        | AMBITION FOR ATOMOSPHERIC WATER GENERATORS                |
|             | 1.7.3        | ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR ATMOSPHERIC WATER GENERATORS    |
| <u>1.8</u>  | <u>PROJE</u> | ECT IDEAS FOR THE WATER SECTOR                            |
| <u>SECT</u> | ION 2:       | BUILDING SECTOR   |
| <u>2.1</u>  | <u>TECHI</u> | NOLOGY ACTION PLAN AND PROJECT IDEAS FOR BUILDING SECTOR  |
|             | 2.1.1        | Building Sector Overview                                  |
| <u>2.2</u>  | ACTIC        | N PLAN FOR LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES (LED)                    |
|             | 2.2.1        | INTRODUCTION  |
|             | 2.2.2        | AMBITIONS FOR LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES                       |
|             | 2.2.3        | ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES          |
|             | 2.2.4        | STAKEHOLDERS AND TIMELINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF TAP       |
|             | 2.2.5        | SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES          |
|             | 2.2.6        | ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTION AND ACTIVITIES  |
|             |              | 2.2.6.1 CAPACITY NEEDS                                    |
|             |              | 2.2.6.2 Cost Estimation                                   |
|             | 2.2.7        | MANAGEMENT PLANNING                                       |
|             | 2.2.8        | NEXT STEPS  |
|             | 2.2.9        | TAP OVERVIEW  |
| <u>2.3</u>  | ACTIC        | IN PLAN FOR ROOF PITCH ANGLE                              |
|             | 2.3.1        | INTRODUCTION  |
|             | 2.3.2        | AMBITION FOR ROOF PITCH ANGLE                             |
|             | 2.3.2        | ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR ROOF PITCH ANGLE               |
|             | 2.3.3        | STAKEHOLDER AND TIMELINES FOR TAP IMPLEMENTATION          |
|             | 2.3.4        | SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES          |
|             | 2.3.5        | ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES |
|             |              | 2.3.5.1 CAPACITY BUILDING NEEDS                           |
|             |              | 2.3.5.2 COST ESTIMATION                                   |
|             | 2.3.6        | MANAGEMENT PLANNING                                       |
|             | 2.3.7        | NEXT STEPS  |

|              | 2.3.8 TAP Overview   |
|--------------|--|
| <u>SECTI</u> | ION 3: TRANSPORT SECTOR  |
| <u>3.1</u>   | TECHNOLOGY ACTION PLAN AND PROJECT IDEAS FOR THE TRANSPORT SECTOR              |
|              | 3.1.1 TRANSPORT SECTOR OVER  |
| <u>3.2</u>   | ACTION PLAN FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS                  |
|              | 3.2.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|              | 3.2.2 AMBITION FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS               |
|              | 3.2.2 ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS |
|              | 3.2.4 STAKEHOLDER AND TIMELINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION74                            |
|              | 3.2.5 SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES                         |
|              | 3.2.6 ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTION AND ACTIVITIES                 |
|              | 3.2.7 MANAGEMENT PLANNING77  |
|              | 3.2.8 NEXT STEPS   |
|              | 3.2.9 TAP OVERVIEW   |
| <u>3.3</u>   | ACTION PLAN FOR EFFICIENCY IN TRANSPORT  |
|              | 3.3.1 INTRODUCTION   |
|              | 3.3.2 AMBITIONS OF EFFICIENCY IN TRANPORT                                      |
|              | 3.3.3 ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR EFFICIENCY IN TRANSPORT                       |
|              | <b>3.3.4</b> STAKEHOLDERS AND TIMELINES FOR IMPLEMENTATION                     |
|              | 3.3.5 SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES                         |
|              | <b>3.3.6</b> Estimation of resources needed for action and activities          |
|              | 3.3.7 MANAGEMENT PLANNING  |
|              | 3.3.8 NEXT STEPS   |
|              | 3.3.9 TAP OVERVIEW   |
| <u>REFE</u>  | RENCES   |

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

|                 | Auticus and Daula da Institute of Osotionia d Education                        |
|-----------------|--|
| ABICE:          | Antigua and Barbuda Institute of Continuing Education                          |
| AF:             | Adaptation Fund  |
| APUA:           | Antigua Public Utilities Authority   |
| ASC:            | Antigua State College  |
| BAEF:           | Barrier Analysis and Enabling Framework  |
| CBO:            | Community-Based Organization   |
| CDB:            | Caribbean Development Bank   |
| DC:<br>DCA:     | Direct Current   |
|                 | Development Control Authority  |
| DoE:            | Department of the Environment  |
| DTU:            | Technical University of Denmark  |
| EDP:<br>EV:     | Entrepreneurial Development Programme<br>Electric Vehicle                      |
| GCF:            | Green Climate Fund   |
| GEF:            |  |
| GEF.<br>GoAB:   | Global Environment Facility  |
| INDC:           | Government of Antigua and Barbuda Intended Nationally Determined Contributions |
| IPCC:           | Inter-governmental Panel of Climate Change                                     |
| LED:            | Light-emitting Diode   |
| MoF:            | Ministry of Finance  |
| NGO:            | Non-Government Organization  |
| MoEST:          | Ministry of Education, Science and Technology                                  |
| MoLST.<br>MoLA: | Ministry of Legal Affairs  |
| MoPCE:          | Ministry of Public Utilities, Civil Aviation and Energy                        |
| NODS:           | National Office of Disaster Services   |
| PV:             | Photovoltaic   |
| RWH:            | Rainwater Harvesting   |
| SGP:            | Small Grants Programme   |
| SIDS:           | Small Island Developing States   |
| SIRFF:          | Sustainable Island Resource Framework Fund                                     |
| SWG:            | Sectoral Working Group:  |
| TAP :           | Technology Action Plan   |
| TNA:            | Technology Needs Assessment  |
| UDP:            | UNEP-DTU Partnership   |
| UNEP-CCC        | UNEP Copenhagen Climate Centre (formerly UDP)                                  |
| UNEP:           | United Nations Environment Programme   |
| UNFCCC:         | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change                          |
| UWI:            | University of the West Indies  |
|                 | -  |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: Quantitative Targets for Diffusion of Water Sector Technologies         | 3            |
|--|--------------|
| Table 2: Solar Pumping Applications for the Antigua and Barbudan Market          | 4            |
| Table 3: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Solar Pumping Systems            | 5            |
| Table 4: Action and Activities for Solar Pumping Systems                         | 7            |
| Table 5: Cost Breakdown for Activity 2.2   |              |
| Table 6: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Rainwater Harvesting             |              |
| Table 7: Action and Activities for Rainwater Harvesting                          |              |
| Table 8: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Water Saving Devices             |              |
| Table 9: Action and Activities for Water Saving Devices                          |              |
| Table 10: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Climate-Proofing Assets         |              |
| Table 11: Action and Activities for Climate Proofing Assets                      |              |
| Table 12: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Stormwater Reclamation and Reus | <b>se</b> 32 |
| Table 13: Action and Activities for Stormwater Reclamation and Reuse             |              |
| Table 14: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Atmospheric Water Generators    |              |
| Table 15: Action and Activities for Atmospheric Water Generators                 |              |
| Table 16: Project Ideas for the Water Sector                                     |              |
| Table 17: Annual Saving by Switching to LEDs                                     |              |
| Table 18: Annual CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions of Lighting Technologies              |              |
| Table 19: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for LEDs         |              |
| Table 20: Assessment of Measures for LEDs  |              |
| Table 21: Description of the Selected Actions for project ideas for LEDs         |              |
| Table 22: Activities for Actions for LEDs  |              |
| ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA  | PAGE   XII   |

| Table 24: Human Resources Capacity Needed for LED Actions       54         Table 25: Summary of Additional Cost       54         Table 26: Risk and Contingency Analysis for LEDs       55         Table 27: Immediate Requirements and Critical Steps for LEDs       55         Table 28: Overview of TAP for LEDs       56         Table 29: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 35: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging       61 | Table 23: Role of Stakeholders for LED    52   |
|--|--|
| Table 26: Risk and Contingency Analysis for LEDs       55         Table 27: Immediate Requirements and Critical Steps for LEDs       55         Table 28: Overview of TAP for LEDs       56         Table 29: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70   | Table 24: Human Resources Capacity Needed for LED Actions  |
| Table 27: Immediate Requirements and Critical Steps for LEDs       55         Table 28: Overview of TAP for LEDs       56         Table 29: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70   | Table 25: Summary of Additional Cost       54  |
| Table 28: Overview of TAP for LEDs.       56         Table 29: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles.       60         Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles.       61         Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles.       61         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles.       62         Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost.       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70  | Table 26: Risk and Contingency Analysis for LEDs       55  |
| Table 29: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 32: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70  | Table 27: Immediate Requirements and Critical Steps for LEDs         55                          |
| Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles       60         Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles   | Table 28: Overview of TAP for LEDs         56  |
| Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles   | Table 29: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Roof Pitch Angles         60 |
| Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70   | Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles         60                                |
| Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles       61         Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70  | Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles 60  |
| Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       62         Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70  | Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles         61 |
| Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       63         Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63         Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70  | Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles         61                                |
| Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost63Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles63Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles64Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles64Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles65Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector68Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging<br>Stations70   | Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles       62                                    |
| Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       63         Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70   | Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed         63   |
| Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70  | Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost       63  |
| Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles       64         Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70   | Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles       63                           |
| Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles       65         Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector       68         Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       70   | Table 38: Risk and Contingency Analysis for Roof Pitch Angles         64                         |
| Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector  | Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles         64              |
| Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging         Stations       70   | Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles         65                                       |
| Stations   | Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector                            |
| Table 43: Assessment of Measures for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       71  |  |
|  | Table 43: Assessment of Measures for EVs and Solar Charging Stations         71                  |
| Table 44: Measures to be taken forward as Actions to Implement EVs and Solar Charging Stations         73  |  |

| Table 45: Actions for Implementing EVs and Solar Charging Stations  | 3 |
|---|---|
| Table 46: Activities for implementation of EVs and solar charging stations                                    | 3 |
| Table 47: Role of Stakeholders for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       74                                   | 4 |
| Table 48: Breakdown of human resources needed for EVs and Solar Charging Stations                             | 6 |
| Table 49: Breakdown of items to be Purchased for EVs and Solar Charging Stations                              | 6 |
| Table 50: Risk and Contingency Analysis for EVs and solar charging stations       7         7       7         | 7 |
| Table 51: Immediate Requirements and Critical Steps for EVs and Solar Charging Stations7                      | 7 |
| Table 52: Overview of TAP for EVs and Solar Charging Stations       78         78                             | 8 |
| Table 53: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for Efficiency in Transport. 83               | 3 |
| Table 54: Assessment of Measures for Efficiency in Transport         83                                       | 3 |
| Table 55: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Efficiency in Transport         83 |   |
| Table 56: Description of the Selected Actions for Project ideas for Efficiency in Transport                   |   |
| Table 57: Activities for Actions for Efficiency in Transport       84         84                              | 4 |
| Table 58: Role of Stakeholders for Efficiency in Transport       84   | 4 |
| Table 59: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed       85         85       85                                    | 5 |
| Table 60: Risk and Contingencies Analysis for Efficiency in Transport         86                              | 6 |
| Table 61: Immediate Requirements and Critical Steps for efficiency in transport           8 <sup>-</sup>      | 7 |
| Table 62: Overview of TAP for Efficiency in Transport         88  | 8 |

# **SECTION 1: WATER SECTOR**

### 1.1 TECHNOLOGY ACTION PLAN AND PROJECT IDEAS FOR WATER SECTOR

#### 1.1.1 WATER SECTOR OVERVIEW

Antigua and Barbuda's water sector is being severely impacted by climate change and variability. These impacts have widescale knock-on effects, but more specifically on the key sectors of tourism, agriculture, health and education. Therefore, addressing climate change vulnerabilities in the water sector will in turn positively impact the nation's triple bottom line, thereby providing social, economic and environmental benefits.

Limited freshwater resources have contributed to widescale water-stress, and climate-induced impacts will continue to exacerbate the effects. According to the Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs), published in 2015, tourism and related services account for ~70% of national GDP (GoAB 2015). Agriculture, another major water consumer has experienced a rebirth in the last decade as the Government undertook to promote food security through increased production *at home*. Farmlands are traditionally located near ponds, dams and naturally occurring waterways and farmers are allowed unmetered access to irrigation volumes. However, in recent years, drought conditions have left these sources dry, significantly lowering productivity (GCF 2017).

Similarly, health and education are two essential services severely affected by water shortages. While, the country's hospitals, clinics and schools cannot be closed for extended periods due to lack of water; the quality of their service, hours of operation and number of nationals served daily are adversely impacted during periods of prolonged shortages. This results in shortened school days forcing households to accommodate children being out of school for unscheduled periods (O'Garro & Speek-Warnery 2009; UNICEF 2017). These concerns are heightened in low-income, single parent and female-led families, where decisions are often made around water collection and use. Thus, productivity, education and other vital activities tend to be limited until the *water problem* is solved (UNICEF 2017).

Table 1 summarises the diffusion targets for water sector technologies as selected by the TNA process.

| TECHNOLOGY                                   | SCALE OF DIFFUSION  |
|--|---|
| Solar Pumping Systems                        | 1,500 households (5% of population)   10 small to medium sized farms                      |
| Rainwater Harvesting                         | 3,000 households (10% of population)   10 community cisterns                              |
| Water Saving Devices                         | 1,500 households (5% of population)   25% of private sector businesses                    |
| Climate-Proofing Assets                      | 6 Reverse Osmosis Plants  |
| Stormwater Reclamation and Reuse             | Christian Valley well fields  |
| Atmospheric Water Generators<br>(Commercial) | 50 private offices   50 schools   25 clinics and doctors' offices   20 government offices |

#### 1.1.2 GENERAL BARRIERS AND PROPOSED MEASURES

The recurring barriers for water sector technologies were primarily linked to *cost* - capital, implementation and operation. These include cost to consumer for procuring goods and the cost to the government or water utility for acquiring, implementing and sustainably operating publicly provided goods. The need for increased *education and awareness* was also common across the entire group of technologies. This included educating the public about new products and bringing about awareness of the need for undertaking larger scale projects in order to improve national water security in the longer term.

Common barriers in the group of consumer technologies were related to *market availability* and *incentives* for acquiring new goods. Transfer of these technologies will be best achieved when new products are market tested and made available by local retailers. This would necessitate incentive programmes that promote technology uptake. By contrast when examining the publicly provided goods, *institutional reform* within the public sector to better streamline implementation for larger-scale projects, was deemed a necessity to achieve successful technology transfer.

### 1.2 ACTION PLAN FOR SOLAR PUMPING SYSTEMS

#### 1.2.1 INTRODUCTION

Solar pumping systems substitute grid electric- and diesel-powered water pumps, for specialized equipment using power generated by solar photovoltaic (PV) panels. Systems often vary in size and complexity, depending on the scale of operation and the end user's needs. In simpler systems power requirements for pumping are met by solar generation; while in larger more complex systems power can be supplemented by back-up generators or the grid. Solar pumping systems facilitate a range of activities including extraction, distribution and treatment.

Antigua and Barbuda's conditional adaptation targets to 2030 outlined in the INDCs, indicate that 100% of power demand for water generation, distribution and usage is to be met by off-grid renewable resources. This would ensure limited interruptions to water distribution when the power grid is down, particularly following extreme climate events (GoAB 2015b). To accomplish this target, residential and commercial properties are encouraged to install on-demand pumping systems that utilize battery storage, while resorts, private residential developments and the Utility are encouraged to invest in systems that invert solar power to alternating current (AC) for continued use of standard equipment (WorldBank 2018). In addition, incorporating solar pumps into ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration (NP) and reverse osmosis (RO) systems can promote fully offgrid water treatment for both potable and non-potable operations.

#### **1.2.2** AMBITION FOR THE TAP

The diffusion of solar pumping systems is expected to impact the residential, commercial and agricultural sectors, with up to 1,500 households or 5% of population and 10 small to medium sized farms being targeted to transition their pumping, distribution and filtration equipment to solar. Table 2 below outlines the applications that have been identified to reach these targets.

| Түре        | TYPE DESCRIPTION  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Brechte     | <ul> <li>Retrofit existing water distribution systems<br/>with solar options to replace or<br/>supplement grid power.</li> </ul>                                    | <ul> <li>Homes</li> <li>Schools</li> <li>Clinics, Hospitals</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |
| Potable     | <ul> <li>Design systems for water distribution from<br/>community reservoirs to<br/>homes/standpipes; OR to lift water up to<br/>elevated storage tanks.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Community-scale<br/>water supply</li> </ul>                   |  |  |  |  |
| Non-potable | <ul> <li>Design systems to extract and distribute<br/>raw surface or groundwater for use on<br/>farm.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Livestock watering</li> <li>Agriculture irrigation</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |

| Table 2: Solar Pumping Applications for the Antigua and Barbudan Ma | arket |
|---|-------|
|   |       |

#### 1.2.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR THE TAP

The full range of enabling measures outlined in the BAEF were examined to determine viable, concrete actions for the TAP. A three-point rating system (1 = High; 2 = Medium; 3 = Low) was used to catergorize the measures and decide where focus should be given for developing activities for technology dissemination. Table 3 shows the final ratings and key points that led to the choice of actions.

| CRITICAL BARRIER   | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS  | RATING |
|--|---|---|--------|
| High initial capital<br>investment for<br>system<br>components,<br>design and<br>installation<br>services. | <ul> <li>Establish specialist<br/>cooperatives [solar<br/>energy specialists and<br/>water equipment service<br/>providers] to investigate<br/>and promote the<br/>expansion of commercial<br/>interests to include solar<br/>water solutions</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>A key step in identifying the agents<br/>that consumers could rely on for<br/>expert advice and service</li> </ul>   | 1*     |
|  | <ul> <li>Provide access to public<br/>sector solar specialists –<br/>Pro Bono or at minimum<br/>market rate – to advise<br/>interested residents on<br/>sizing and design</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Essential for targeting the socially vulnerable.</li> <li>Best combined with the private sector cooperatives for cohesivity</li> </ul>                     | 1*     |
|  | <ul> <li>Provide tax exemptions<br/>and access to funds (e.g.<br/>through the<br/>Entrepreneurial<br/>Development<br/>Programme) to<br/>incentivize local<br/>suppliers to invest in<br/>solar pumping business<br/>opportunities, such as<br/>partnering with overseas<br/>manufacturers /<br/>suppliers and<br/>assembling equipment</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Necessary for a new market item.<br/>Establishing a partnership with<br/>EDP (and other pending<br/>government initiatives) will be<br/>helpful</li> </ul> | 1      |
|  | <ul> <li>locally</li> <li>Facilitate upskilling<br/>opportunities for local<br/>plumbing and electrical<br/>technicians to increase<br/>local capacity and<br/>availability of technical<br/>skills</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Sustainability of this technology is<br/>hinged on in-country technical<br/>capacity</li> </ul>  | 1      |
| Limited<br>established<br>retailers and<br>package systems<br>on island                                    | <ul> <li>Incentivize local retailers<br/>to purchase, stock and<br/>market small scale,<br/>package solar pumping<br/>systems for residential<br/>and commercial</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>A single incentive programme will<br/>achieve greater buy-in at the<br/>government level</li> </ul>  | 1*     |

| CRITICAL BARRIER | ENABLING MEASURES  | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS  | RATING |
|------------------|--|---|--------|
|                  | applications, by zero-<br>rating import duties and<br>taxes for all solar<br>equipment and<br>accessories  |   |        |
|                  | <ul> <li>Facilitate quick repairs<br/>and limited system<br/>downtime by having<br/>adequate stock of<br/>essential replacement<br/>parts and a reliable<br/>network of technicians<br/>available on island [by<br/>partnering with regional<br/>suppliers /<br/>manufacturers]</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Paired with the preceding<br/>measure. Retailers should be<br/>encouraged to import and stock<br/>replacement parts</li> </ul> | 1*     |

\* The measures were deemed complementary and combined into a single Action.

The measures prioritized above were refined into the following concrete actions:

- 1. Establish Solar Water Professionals Business Association to promote commercial interest and provide a pool of specialists on solar pumping systems.
- 2. Launch special incentive periods for local suppliers to invest in solar pumping business opportunities.
- 3. Launch a special incentive period for the private and public sector to acquire solar pumping equipment.
- 4. Develop upskilling programmes and train plumbing and electrical technicians.

The timeline for completion of the four actions is forty-eight (48) months, with a total project budget of approximately USD 1 500 000 | XCD 4 032 300, and an expected private sector investment of USD 550 000 | XCD 1 478 510 to achieve the quantitative target for diffusion outlined in Table 1.

Table 4 further develops these actions into activities that would aid in their implementation.

Table 4: Action and Activities for Solar Pumping Systems

| Action  | Activities to be<br>Implemented  | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT  | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria        | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|---|--|----------------------|--|-----------|---|----------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Action 1: Establish<br>Solar Water<br>Professionals<br>Business<br>Association to<br>promote<br>commercial<br>interest and<br>provide a pool of | Activity 1.1: Establish local<br>Solar Water Professionals<br>Business Association   | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: DOE;<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; Chairman                       | 6 months  | Lack of interest by professionals and businesses                                    | Association<br>established | Minutes from planning<br>meetings between<br>Chamber / DOE<br>Association registration<br>certificate from<br>Intellectual Property<br>Draft By-laws of<br>Association  | USD 1 500              |
| specialists on<br>solar pumping<br>systems.<br>[Month 1 – Month<br>12]  | Activity 1.2: Create register<br>of authorized business<br>entities and individuals<br>specializing in solar water<br>systems design and<br>installation | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: DOE;<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; Chairman                       | 3 months  | Lack of interest by<br>professionals and<br>businesses<br>Slow registration process | Register<br>published      | Registration platform<br>designed and Beta<br>tested<br>Online registration<br>platform published   | USD 6 500              |
|   | Activity 1.3: Create register<br>of public sector specialists<br>designated to work with<br>low income and vulnerable<br>groups                          | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: DOE;<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; <i>Chairman</i><br>Energy Desk | 3 months  | Lack of interest by public<br>sector professionals in<br>pro-bono work              | Register<br>published      | Minutes from inter-<br>ministerial meetings<br>Regulations for<br>accessing public sector<br>assistance [based on<br>size and cost of<br>systems and<br>applicants' income<br>bracket]<br>Online registration<br>platform published | USD 5 000              |
| Action 2: Launch<br>special incentive<br>periods for local<br>suppliers to invest   | Activity 2.1: Engage and sign MOU with the EDP to  | GCF, GoAB            | Focal Point: DOE;<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; Chairman                       | 6 months  | Length negotiation process with EDP   | MOU signed                 | MOU drafted and legal review undertaken   | USD 1 500              |

| Action  | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME  | Risks  | Success<br>Criteria  | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>Activity    |
|---|--|----------------------|---|------------|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| in solar pumping<br>business<br>opportunities.  | promote the special tiered incentive programme.  |                      | MoF; EDP Chairman   |            |  |  |  |                           |
| [Month 7 – Month<br>36]   | Activity 2.2: Launch Tier<br>1 <sup>1</sup> , Tier 2 <sup>2</sup> , Tier 3 <sup>3</sup><br>incentive periods | GCF, GoAB            | Focal Point: DOE;<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; Chairman<br>OPM; EDP Chairman | 24 months⁴ | Limited capacity within<br>EDP to process<br>applications<br>Lengthy processing time<br>Fatigue / frustration by<br>businesses   | 3-tiered<br>Incentive system<br>Iaunched   | <ul> <li># of applications<br/>received in each Tier</li> <li># of applications<br/>approved</li> <li>Volume of Units, parts<br/>and materials imported</li> </ul>                                     | USD 105 000<br>*[Table 5] |
| Action 3: Launch a<br>special incentive<br>window for the<br>private and public<br>sector to acquire<br>solar pumping<br>equipment.<br>[Month 10 –<br>Month 40] | Activity 3.1: Define<br>incentive 'bands' for<br>residential and<br>commercial customers                     | GCF, GoAB            | Focal Point: DOE;<br>Partner/s: MoF;<br>Permanent Secretary                               | 6 months   | Lengthy negotiation<br>process with Finance<br>Delays in Cabinet<br>approval<br>Poor 'band' design   | Types of<br>incentives<br>approved for<br><i>residential</i> and<br><i>commercial</i><br>customers | <ul> <li># of planning meetings<br/>between DOE and<br/>Finance</li> <li>Residential /<br/>Commercial incentives<br/>defined and<br/>documented</li> <li>Cabinet paper drafted</li> </ul>              | USD 2 500                 |
|   | Activity 3.2: Roll out<br>phased incentive<br>programme  | GCF, GoAB            | Focal Point: DOE SIRFF<br>Partner/s: MoF;<br>Permanent Secretary                          | 18 months  | Limited capacity to<br>rapidly process<br>applications<br>Lengthy processing time<br>Fatigue / frustration by<br>applicants<br>Publicity of programme<br>fails to reach public | Incentive<br>programme<br>implemented  | <ul> <li># of applications<br/>received</li> <li>(disaggregated by<br/>residential /<br/>commercial / public<br/>sector)</li> <li># of application<br/>approved and<br/>approvals published</li> </ul> | USD 1 000 000             |

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tier 1: Registered businesses interested in supplying 'off-the-shelf' units of solar pumping equipment ONLY
 <sup>2</sup> Tier 2: Registered businesses interested in supplying 'off-the-shelf' units along with replacement parts
 <sup>3</sup> Tier 3: Registered business entities interested in partnering with Solar Pumping Equipment suppliers to import materials and assembling units in-country.
 <sup>4</sup> Each Tier incentive window can run for 12 month period with a 6 month overlap

| Action  | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>Activity |
|---|--|----------------------|---|-----------|---|---|---|------------------------|
|   | Activity 3.3: Conduct post-<br>programme market<br>analysis and develop<br>scenarios for scaling up            | GCF                  | Focal Point: DOE SIRFF  | 6 months  | Adequate data not<br>collected  | Market study<br>published   | Survey instrument<br>developed<br># of post-installation<br>surveys conducted<br>Draft results shared in<br>public consultation | USD 20 000             |
| Action 4: Develop<br>upskilling<br>programmes and<br>train plumbing<br>and electrical<br>technicians.<br>[Month 21 –<br>Month 48] | Activity 4.1: Complete<br>curriculum   | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoEST;<br>Director of Education<br>ASC Engineering<br>Department; Principal<br>ABICE; Principal<br>UWI Five Islands;<br>Campus Principal | 6 months  | Lengthy curriculum<br>development and<br>approval process<br>Prolonged curriculum<br>approval / accreditation<br>process                | Curriculum<br>developed   | Minutes from inter-<br>institutional<br>collaboration meetings<br>MOU established<br>between DOE and<br>institutions            | USD 10 500             |
|   | Activity 4.2: Upgrade<br>teaching staff<br>qualifications to cover new<br>curriculum<br>[Training-of-trainers] | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoEST;<br>Director of Education<br>ASC Engineering<br>Department; Principal<br>ABICE; Principal<br>UWI Five Islands;<br>Chancellor       | 3 months  | Difficulty in scheduling<br>training<br>Delays in accessing or<br>importing equipment /<br>materials for in-class<br>practical training | Educators<br>trained  | # of educators selected<br>from each institution<br>Report from Training-<br>of-Trainers programme                              | USD 20 000             |
|   | Activity 4.3: Pilot<br>curriculum with<br>Professional Associations  | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: MoEST;<br>Director of Education<br>Partner/s: ASC<br>Engineering Department;<br>Principal  | 6 months  | Difficulty in scheduling<br>training<br>Limited interest by<br>technicians  | Plumbing and<br>Electrical<br>Technicians<br>trained and<br>certified | <ul><li># of technicians<br/>trained for each<br/>module</li><li># of completion<br/>certificates</li></ul>                     | USD 15 000             |

| Action | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED                                | Source of<br>Funding | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria  | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|--------|--|----------------------|---|-----------|---|--|--|------------------------|
|        |  |                      | ABICE; Principal<br>UWI Five Islands;<br>Campus Principal   |           |   | MOUs with<br>professional<br>associations to<br>promote<br>practical<br>training                         |  |                        |
|        | Activity 4.4: Launch new<br>course/s in tertiary<br>intuitions | GCF, GEF             | Focal Point: MoEST;<br>Director of Education<br>Partner/s: ASC<br>Engineering Department;<br>Principal<br>ABICE; Principal<br>UWI Five Islands;<br>Chancellor | 12 months | Difficulty in scheduling<br>training<br>Limited interest by<br>students | Course added to<br>curriculum<br>Students<br>successfully<br>matriculate<br>through 1 <sup>st</sup> year | <ul> <li># of institutions<br/>adopting curriculum in<br/>1<sup>st</sup> year</li> <li># of students<br/>registered in each<br/>institution in each<br/>institution</li> </ul> | USD 75 000             |

It is proposed that *Activity 2.2* be delivered through a public sector collaboration between the Department of Environment (DOE) and the Entrepreneurial Development Programme (EDP) within the Office of the Prime Minister/Finance. The DOE has a working project management relationship with Finance and the EDP; however, since the EDP is a newly established Unit it would be necessary to provide project funding to successfully deliver this activity. Table 5 outlines the project cost breakdown for *Activity 2.2*.

In addition, to achieve the full scale of diffusion, an estimated private sector investment of USD 550 000 | XCD 1 478 510 is anticipated if local suppliers are granted waivers on import duties, revenue recovery charge (RRC) and sale tax (ABST) to stock solar pumping equipment and spares.

| DESCRIPTION   | TOTALCOST (USD) |
|---|-----------------|
| Designated EDP Staff                                    | 40 000          |
| Project Management (equipment, supplies, communication) | 15 000          |
| Media and PR  | 5 000           |
| Workshops and Training                                  | 15 000          |
| In-store Displays (units and sinage)                    | 25 000          |
| Contingency   | 5 000           |
| TOTAL   | 105 000         |

 Table 5: Cost Breakdown for Activity 2.2

While Actions 1, 2, and 4 would establish the enabling environment and systems to support diffusion and future sustainability of solar pumping systems; Action 3 directly addresses adoption of the technology by households and the farming community. *Activity 3.2* provides up to USD 1 000 000 | XCD 2 688 200 in concessional financing for private citizens to purchase and install solar pumping equipment on their properties. The low-interest loan scheme will be administered by the DOE's Sustainable Island Resources Framework (SIRF) Fund. It should be duly noted that these four (4) actions and corresponding activities would likely form part of a larger Water / Energy Sector project designed to attract GCF grant funding.

### 1.3 ACTION PLAN FOR RAINWATER HARVESTING

#### 1.3.1 INTRODUCTION

Rainwater harvesting ('RWH') is the diversion, capture, storage, and treatment of precipitation for potable and non-potable uses. All systems typically include catchment surface, transport, storage, treatment, and distribution. At present rainwater harvesting is supported by the Building Code (1993) and Physical Planning Act (2003). The Development Control Authority (DCA) guidelines dictate that all newly constructed buildings must include rainwater capture and storage for the architectural plans to be approved.

Greater adoption of rainwater harvesting would occur if additional cost-effective storage options, that rival reinforced concrete in-ground cisterns, were available on the local market. Providing feasible storage options that specifically target the agricultural sector will also be beneficial in increasing on farm storage for irrigation. Further, harvesting at the community level would contribute to greater resilience for vulnerable people who cannot invest in adequate at home storage.

#### 1.3.2 AMBITION FOR THE TAP

Improved diffusion of residential rainwater harvesting systems can aim to target 3,000 households across Antigua and Barbuda, enabling them to add or increase at-home storage capacity. While, at the community scale, diffusion will target the rehabilitation of up to ten (10) community cisterns with capacities of 50,000 to 125,000 U.S. gallons.

#### 1.3.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR THE TAP

The enabling measures outlined in the BAEF Report were examined to determine the best combination of concrete actions for the TAP (UDP, 2021). As with previous technologies a three-point rating system (1 = High; 2 = Medium; 3 = Low) was used to catergorize the measures and decide where focus should be given for developing activities for technology dissemination. Table 6 shows the final ratings and key points that led to the choice of actions.

| CRITICAL BARRIER  |   | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS   | RATING |
|---|---|---|--|--------|
| Cost and<br>availability of<br>unconventional<br>rainwater storage<br>options | • | Provide financial<br>incentives for retailers to<br>import, market-test,<br>stock and retail a wider<br>range of rainwater<br>storage options | <ul> <li>Reserve incentives for solar<br/>technologies. The government is<br/>more likely to support incentives<br/>that aid in reaching renewal energy<br/>targets</li> </ul> | 2      |

| CRITICAL BARRIER   | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS  | RATING |
|--|---|---|--------|
|  | <ul> <li>Promote a range [max. 5]<br/>of new storage options<br/>and encourage<br/>consumers to examine<br/>and compare costs and<br/>applicability [versus<br/>concrete in-ground<br/>cisterns]</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Critical to expand the market<br/>options. Good for a pilot study, the<br/>results of which could be used to<br/>encourage retailers to invest.</li> </ul>       | 1      |
|  | <ul> <li>Stimulate local interest in<br/>designing and<br/>demonstrating novel low<br/>costs storage by<br/>increasing access to<br/>financing and lowering<br/>investment risks</li> </ul>                 | <ul> <li>Excellent action that should be<br/>promoted in collaboration with the<br/>private sector, educational<br/>institutions and service<br/>organizations</li> </ul> | 1      |
| High costs to<br>refurbish<br>community<br>reservoirs or<br>install community<br>tanks and<br>catchments | <ul> <li>Target donations [from<br/>business community,<br/>overseas residents, local<br/>government etc.] to<br/>accumulate seed funds<br/>to jumpstart<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>              | <ul> <li>Good sub-activity during the<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>   | 2      |
|  | <ul> <li>Organize community<br/>workdays to elicit<br/>volunteer labour from<br/>community residents<br/>[and the willing public] to<br/>assist with renovations</li> </ul>                                 | <ul> <li>Good sub-activity during the<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>   | 2      |
|  | <ul> <li>Hire skilled labour where<br/>necessary</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Good sub-activity during the<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>   | 2      |
|  | <ul> <li>Strengthen technical<br/>capacity within<br/>community groups for<br/>planning/design, project<br/>coordination and<br/>financial management</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Excellent option to build community<br/>adaption</li> </ul>  | 1*     |
|  | <ul> <li>Strengthen proposal<br/>writing capacity by<br/>seeking training<br/>opportunities for<br/>community NGO<br/>members</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Another worthwhile capacity<br/>building action</li> </ul>   | 1*     |
|  | <ul> <li>Improve collaboration<br/>between community NGO<br/>and schools, and utilize<br/>the school as a channel<br/>for executing small scale<br/>project activities</li> </ul>                           | <ul> <li>Good sub-activity during the<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>   | 2      |
|  | <ul> <li>Create opportunities for<br/>income generation in<br/>early phases of<br/>refurbishment project to<br/>promote various sources</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Good sub-activity during the<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>   | 2      |

| <b>CRITICAL BARRIER</b>  | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS   | RATING |
|--|---|--|--------|
|  | of income [thus limiting<br>reliance on free money]   |  |        |
| No incentives that<br>encourage pairing<br>RWH with solar<br>pumping systems | <ul> <li>Promote solar pumping<br/>systems as a<br/>complementary<br/>technology to rainwater<br/>harvesting for residential<br/>and community storage<br/>systems</li> </ul>       | <ul> <li>Good sub-activity during the<br/>refurbishment projects</li> </ul>  | 2      |
|  | <ul> <li>Increase awareness<br/>about potential uses of<br/>solar pumping along the<br/>rainwater harvesting<br/>chain – specifically<br/>treatment and<br/>distribution</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>This will be done inadvertently once<br/>solar pumping options are on the<br/>market</li> </ul>           | 3      |
|  | <ul> <li>Create a program/s that<br/>provide financial<br/>incentives to individuals<br/>and groups interested in<br/>acquiring solar pumping<br/>equipment</li> </ul>              | <ul> <li>Incentive program already<br/>established in the solar pumping<br/>systems technology roll-out</li> </ul> | 2      |

The measures prioritized above were refined into the following concrete actions:

- 1. Pilot a range of up to five (5) new rainwater storage options for consumers.
- 2. Launch an *Innovators Competition* to design and demonstrate *novel* low-cost rainwater storage options [by increasing access to financing and lowering investment risks].
- 3. Strengthen technical capacity of registered NGOs and community groups in proposal preparation, project planning, design, coordination, and financial management.
- 4. Rehabilitate [up to 10] community cisterns with storage, distribution, and income generation streams.

Table 7 further develops these actions into activities that would aid in their implementation.

The timeline for completion of the four actions is sixty (60) months, with a total project budget of approximately USD 3 500 000 | XCD 9 408 700 to achieve the quantitative target for diffusion outlined in Table 1.

Table 7: Action and Activities for Rainwater Harvesting

| Action   | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED   | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT    | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria                        | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|--|---|----------------------|--|-----------|---|--|--|------------------------|
| Action 1: Pilot a<br>range of up to<br>five (5) new<br>rainwater<br>storage options<br>for consumers.  | Activity 1.1: Conduct market<br>study to determine the best<br>options                                | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE                       | 6 months  | Lengthy data collection and analyses  | Market study<br>report published           | Survey instrument<br>developed<br># of surveys /<br>interviews<br>completed                                | USD 20 000             |
| [Month 1 –<br>Month 28]  | Activity 1.2: Pilot each new storage option   | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP | 18 months | Lengthy importation /<br>assembly   | Pilot<br>organizations /<br>sites selected | # of pilot sites for<br>each technology<br>Total # of storage<br>options installed                         | USD 150 000            |
|  | Activity 1.3: Develop scenarios for scaling up  | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP | 3 months  | Insufficent data collected<br>during pilot phase<br>Delays in procurement of<br>Expert to develop scenarios | Up-scaling<br>models                       | Technical paper on<br>pilot study drafted<br>Up to 3 scenarios<br>developed for<br>nationwide<br>diffusion | USD 10 000             |
| Action 2: Create<br>an Innovators<br>Competition to  | Activity 2.1: Develop TORs for Innovators Competition.  | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP | 6 weeks   | Need to develop effective<br>and transparent scoring<br>criteria  | TORS finalized                             | TORS drafted and reviewed  | USD 500                |
| design and<br>demonstrate<br>novel low-cost<br>rainwater<br>storage options<br>[Month 3 –<br>Month 30] | Activity 2.2: Establish MOUs<br>with local sponsors, e.g.,<br>businesses and service<br>organizations | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP | 3 months  | Lengthy negotiations<br>Limited interest from key<br>stakeholders   | MOUs signed                                | # of private sector<br>and service<br>organizations<br>engaged   | USD 1 500              |
|  | Activity 2.3: Launch Call for<br>Applications with national<br>Innovators Workshop                    | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP | 6 months  | Lengthy design process<br>Limited access to industry<br>partners  | Design<br>applications<br>received         | Innovators<br>Workshop held<br># of applications<br>received   | USD 3 000              |

| Action  | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | Source of<br>Funding       | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT  | TIMEFRAME | Risks  | Success<br>Criteria                                     | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY                           |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|   | Activity 2.4: Award designs<br>and select demonstration<br>projects                  | AF, GEF                    | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP   | 3 months  | Delays in evaluation process   | Demo projects<br>selected                               | # of viable designs<br>Completed scoring<br>sheets   | USD 1 500  |
|   | Activity 2.5: Provide<br>business and finance<br>training for Innovators             | AF, GEF,<br>Private Sector | Focal Point: DOE SIRFF<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP<br>UWI Five Islands<br>Business Management<br>Banking and Financial<br>Institutions | 3 months  | Lack of commitment by<br>Innovators to follow through<br>process (without certainty of<br>funding) | Business Training<br>and Certification<br>of Innovators | <ul> <li># of attendees at<br/>training sessions</li> <li># of one-to-one<br/>mentoring sessions<br/>held</li> </ul>                     | USD 10 500                                       |
|   | Activity 2.6: Promote access<br>to financing for eligible<br>Innovators              | AF, GEF                    | Focal Point: DOE SIRFF<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP<br>Banking and Financial<br>Institutions  | 12 months | Delays in disbursements  | Grants funding<br>awarded<br>Loans funding<br>allocated | <ul> <li># of collaboration<br/>meetings with local<br/>financiers</li> <li># of grants / loans<br/>applications<br/>received</li> </ul> | USD 50 000<br>(grants)<br>USD 500 000<br>(loans) |
| Action 3:<br>Strengthen<br>technical<br>capacity of<br>registered NGOs<br>and community                               | Activity 3.1: Procure<br>consulting services for<br>Needs Assessment and<br>Training | GEF                        | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP   | 3 months  | Limited qualified in-country<br>consultants<br>Lengthy procurement<br>process                      | Consultant<br>engaged                                   | RFP published<br># of applications<br>received<br>Consultancy<br>contract awarded  | USD 2 500  |
| groups in<br>proposal<br>preparation,<br>project planning,<br>design,<br>coordination<br>and financial<br>management. | Activity 3.2: Conduct<br>Capacity Needs Assessment                                   | GEF                        | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner: Consultant  | 3 months  | Accessibility to NGOs /<br>Community groups  | Capacity Needs<br>Assessment<br>Report published        | Survery instrument<br>developed<br># of NGOs / CBOs<br>engaged<br>Post-assessment<br>consultation  | USD 15 000                                       |
| [Month 5 –<br>Month 18]   | Activity 3.3: Develop Project<br>Management training<br>programme                    | GEF                        | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner: Consultant  | 1 month   |  | PM training<br>programme and<br>tools finalized         | Programme outline<br>drafted   | USD 5 500  |

| Action   | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED   | Source of<br>Funding       | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria                          | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>Activity |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|-----------|---|--|---|------------------------|
|  |   |                            |   |           |   |  | Training tools developed  |                        |
|  | Activity 3.4: Conduct capacity building exercises   | GEF                        | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner: Consultant<br>GEF SGP                                | 6 months  | Difficulty scheduling training<br>Limited interest by NGO /<br>CBO members  | Training and<br>Certification<br>completed   | <ul> <li># of training<br/>sessions held</li> <li># of NGOs / CBOs<br/>participated in<br/>training</li> </ul>  | USD 30 000             |
| Rehabilitate up stoten (10) community cisterns with storage, distribution system and income generation streams. [Month 6 – | Activity 4.1: Competitively<br>select community cistern<br>projects                               | AF, GEF                    | Focal Point: DOE SIRFF<br>Partner/s: GEF SGP<br>Community<br>Development Division | 12 months | Limited number of organized<br>NGOs / CBOs capable of<br>spearheading rehalibitation<br>projects<br>Lengthy evaluation process<br>Potential land ownership<br>complications | Projects selected<br>and contracts<br>signed | Selection criteria<br>developed<br># of applications<br>submitted<br># of successful<br>applicants awarded<br>and awards<br>published   | USD 18 000             |
|  | Activity 4.2: Procure<br>equipment and materials<br>from local / regional<br>network of suppliers | AF, GEF,<br>Private Sector | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: NGOs / CBOs  | 12 months | Lengthy procurement<br>(importation) process<br>Lack of access to secure<br>storage (resulting in loss to<br>theft)   | Equipment and materials available            | # of duty / customs<br>/ CABI waivers<br>granted  | USD 1 500 000          |
|  | Activity 4.3: Complete rehabilitation   | AF, GEF,<br>Private Sector | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: NGOs / CBOs  | 36 months | Implementation delays<br>Inclement weather halting<br>project<br>Increased pandemic related<br>restricitions / delays   | Community<br>cisterns<br>inaugurated         | <ul> <li># of progress<br/>reports received</li> <li>% of funding<br/>disbursed</li> <li>Certification of<br/>completion &amp;<br/>community<br/>handover ceremony</li> </ul> | USD 1 000 000          |

| Action | Activities to be<br>Implemented  | Source of<br>Funding | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT        | TIMEFRAME | Risks  | Success<br>Criteria          | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION    | BUDGET PER<br>Activity |
|--------|--|----------------------|--|-----------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------|
|        | Activity 4.4: Develop<br>Management Plan with<br>income generation streams | AF, GEF              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: NGOs / CBOs | 6 months  | Limited capacity to develop<br>viable managements plans<br>Procurement delays for<br>experts | Management<br>Plans approved | Plan drafted<br># of community<br>consultations held | USD 30 000             |

The costs outlined in Table 7 do not address the private sector investment associated with importation and resale by local suppliers, or the consumer costs associated with purchase and installation of new rainwater storage options. The Technical Paper produced at the end of *Activity 1.3* will provide scenarios for scaling up the pilot programme to achieve adoption of new and/or improved rainwater storage for up to three thousand (3,000) households across Antigua and Barbuda. While it is premature to determine the exact number of *new* rainwater storage options that will be adopted during the pilot, a target of at least three hundred (300) households or ten percent (10%) of the overall goal would be appropriate to provide the relevant results to develop scaling up scenarios.

## 1.4 ACTION PLAN FOR WATER SAVING DEVICES

#### 1.4.1 INTRODUCTION

Water efficient appliances, fixtures and devices, are used to augment in-home conservation efforts. These water savers have a variety of commercial and residential applications within buildings. In the Antiguan and Barbudan water sector technologies will focus on widescale diffusion in residential and commercial buildings.

A range of water efficient fixtures and appliances are available in local hardware stores across the country, some of which can be identified by the *water saving* green tag. Consumer choice is typically governed by budgetary constraints, and property owners determine how much to invest based on disposable income. It should be noted however, that some high efficiency devices are sold only fractionally more expensive than their less efficient counterparts, and a prudent consumer could be guided to make a better choice if armed with additional information.

#### 1.4.2 AMBITION FOR THE TAP

Increased education and awareness of the water saving benefits of appliances and devices is necessary to promote increased diffusion of the technology. The TAP will target specialized messaging that increase consumer awareness of the value of opting for water efficient options with the goal of influencing up to 1,500 households (5% of population) and 25% of private sector businesses in switching to water efficient devices on their next purchase<sup>5</sup>. Particular focus would be placed on persuading property owners and managers to concentrate on major water loss/usage devices like washing machines, taps and shower heads.

#### 1.4.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR THE TAP

The enabling measures outlined in the BAEF were examined to determine the best combination of concrete actions for the TAP. The resulting categorization shows which measures were prioritized to develop concrete actions and activities for technology dissemination. Table 8 shows the final ratings and key points that led to the choice of actions.

#### Table 8: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Water Saving Devices

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Focus will be on *formal* business in the health, education, retail and tourism sectors, that routinely purchase trucked water to maintain their operations.

| CRITICAL BARRIER   | ENABLING MEASURES  | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS   | RATING |
|--|--|--|--------|
| Low awareness<br>about the water<br>saving benefits of<br>WSDs | <ul> <li>Develop a water efficiency<br/>labelling system that can be<br/>utilized to rate devices<br/>based on volumes of water<br/>saved, and partner with<br/>retailers to use labelling in<br/>store</li> </ul>       | <ul> <li>Excellent action for raising<br/>consumer awareness</li> </ul>  | 1      |
|  | <ul> <li>Design a charting system<br/>that shows indicative uses<br/>of water around the<br/>property, coupled with water<br/>conservation tips</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Great option for an educational drive.</li> <li>Excellent opportunity to include educational institutions</li> </ul>                                  | 1      |
|  | <ul> <li>Develop water efficiency<br/>standards for devices and<br/>work with the authorities<br/>[and Bureau of Standards]<br/>to promote as system of tax<br/>breaks for imports based on<br/>water savings</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Standards should adhere to<br/>regional or international regulations</li> <li>Greater potential as a regional /<br/>OECS level undertaking</li> </ul> | 2      |

The measures prioritized above were refined into the following concrete actions:

- 1. Develop and roll-out a water efficiency labelling system that rates devices based on volumes of water saved [and partner with retailers to use labelling in store].
- 2. Design an educational *W*ater *U*sage *C*hart that shows indicative uses of water around the property and complementary water conservation tips.

The timeline for completion of the two actions is thirty-six (36) months, with a total project budget of approximately USD 165 000 | XCD 443 553 to achieve improved education and awareness relating to the water saving benefits of household appliances and devices. The timeline for attaining the quantitative target for diffusion outlined in Table 1 is undetermined.

The DOE has received climate finances from the Adaptation Fund (AF) to implement projects where some sub-activites may lay the foundation for the education and awareness needed for deployment of this technology. Hence, the activities described in Table 9 may be adapted in the future to build on the outcomes and lessons learned from the AF projects and scaled accordingly to achieve greater impact.

Table 9: Action and Activities for Water Saving Devices

| ACTION   | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT                                     | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|--|--|----------------------|---|-----------|---|---|---|------------------------|
| Action 1: Develop<br>and roll-out a water<br>efficiency labelling<br>system that rates           | Activity 1.1: Develop<br>branding guidelines for<br>water efficiency labels  | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: Bureau of<br>Standards                   | 3 months  | Delays in finalizing guidelines   | Guidelines<br>finalized   | Guidelines drafted<br>Consultations held  | USD 5 000              |
| devices based on<br>volumes of water<br>saved.<br>[Month 1 – Month<br>28]                        | Activity 1.2: Launch<br>national competition to<br>design water efficiency<br>labels.  | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: Bureau of<br>Standards                   | 6 months  | Lack of interest from stakeholders  | Water Efficiency<br>Labels selected                                     | # of applications<br>received<br>Shortlisted Label<br>designs<br>Sample labels<br>produced    | USD 15 000             |
|  | Activity 1.3: Pilot<br>labelling programme<br>with select retailers  | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: Bureau of<br>Standards                   | 12 months | Limited capacity to<br>monitor in-store roll out<br>Complaints of unfair<br>selection process | Pilot<br>Programme<br>Data Report<br>MOUs with<br>selected<br>retailers | # of retailers<br>registered in pilot<br># of units labelled<br>In-store surveys<br>completed | USD 50 000             |
|  | Activity 1.4: Develop a<br>National<br>Implementation Scheme<br>for scaling up water<br>efficiency labelling to all<br>retailers | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: Bureau of<br>Standards<br>Private Sector | 6 months  | Lengthy process of<br>engaging all retailers<br>Delays in Cabinet<br>approval                 | National<br>Scheme<br>approved at<br>Cabinet                            | Register of all local<br>retailers<br># of retailers contacted<br>Cabinet paper drafted       | USD 55 000             |
| Action 2: Design a<br>educational Water<br>Usage Chart that<br>shows indicative<br>uses of water | Activity 2.1: Establish<br>MOU with Ministry of<br>Education   | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE  | 6 weeks   | Lengthy inter-ministerial collaboration   | MOU signed  | MOU drafted<br>Collaboration<br>meetings held   | USD 1 000              |
| around the property<br>and complementary<br>water conservation<br>tips.                          | Activity 2.2: Procure<br>consulting services to<br>develop educational<br>module on Water Usage                                  | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE  | 3 months  | Delays in procurement   | Water Usage<br>Module<br>developed                                      | RFP drafted and published   | USD 5 500              |
| Action                   | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED   | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT                            | TIMEFRAME                              | Risks  | Success<br>Criteria                               | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION                                   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|------------------------|
| [Month 28 - Month<br>36] |   |                      |  |  |  |   | # of applications received  |                        |
|                          |   |                      |  |  |  |   | Educational module and tools drafted  |                        |
|                          | Activity 2.3: Pilot module<br>in select high school<br>Science classes              | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoEST;<br>Director of Education | 3 months<br>(selected school<br>term)  | Difficulty scheduling<br>classroom time for<br>module                            | Module taught<br>in public and<br>private schools | # of classroom hours<br>logged<br># of students<br>participated                     | USD 10 500             |
|                          | Activity 2.4: Launch<br>inter-school Water<br>Usage Chart design<br>competition     | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoEST;<br>Director of Education | 3 months<br>(following<br>school term) | Competing school<br>activities   | Winning charts selected                           | # of participating<br>schools<br>(disaggregarted by<br>public and private)          | USD 12 500             |
|                          | Activity 2.5: Publish<br>select charts and<br>promote in national<br>media campaign | GEF SGP              | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoEST;<br>Director of Education | 6 weeks                                | Insufficient access to<br>continuous air time<br>Poor reception by the<br>public | Media blitz<br>successful                         | Award ceremony held<br># of print, social<br>media, television<br>adverts published | USD 10 000             |

### 1.5 ACTION PLAN FOR CLIMATE PROOFING ASSETS

#### 1.5.1 INTRODUCTION

Resilient infrastructure can significantly reduce but may not fully eliminate climate-related disruptions to APUA's service. Climate-proofing can improve reliability of service and increase asset life, by addressing the extent to which climate change translates into risks to infrastructure. The critical characteristic of climate-resilient infrastructure is that it is *planned*, *designed*, *built* and *operated* in a way that anticipates, prepares for, and adapts to changing climate conditions (OECD 2018). The process of climate-proofing involves assessing *exposure* and *vulnerability*, developing risk management plans and systematically de-risking (building resilience in) the Utility. Thus, enabling it to withstand, respond to, and recover rapidly from disruptions caused by extreme climate conditions. (ADB 2016; OECD 2018).

Comprehensive and proactive risk management requires making trade-offs between risk minimization and cost, resulting in a more resilient Water Utility where major climate-related risks have been considered and managed. It also assumes that the capacities to withstand and recover from shocks are in place (OECD 2014, 2018). A 2019 project funded by the Caribbean Development Bank (CDB) as part of the ACP-EU-CDB NDRM<sup>6</sup> assessed the existing climate-related vulnerabilities in APUA's infrastructure and presented an *Investment Plan for climate resilient water supply services*. The TAP will consider key actions that would enable APUA to commence the process of de-risking at crucial stages of the water supply process. Hence, managing physical assets – desalination facilities, pump stations, pipelines etc. – will be part of a more globally dynamic process within APUA's overall operations.

#### 1.5.2 AMBITION FOR CLIMATE PROOFING ASSETS

Climate-proofing APUA's most critical investments would involve years of costly infrastructural work to retrofit, relocate and improve current facilities. Building on the critical measures identified in the BAEF process, the TAP will address non-starter barriers in an effort to position the Utility for a successful climate-proofing journey.

The high capital cost of de-risking the Water Utility was cited as the greatest constraint; hence, the actions considered in the TAP will address the design and financial management phases of the derisking process. This approach makes the assumption that if APUA is equipped with a comprehensive risk management plan, improved capability to generate higher volumes of revenue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> African Caribbean Pacific – European Union – Caribbean Development Bank National Disaster Risk Management

and technical capacity to access external funding; it would be positioned to systematically implement the necessary infrastructure works. The overall timeline for completely de-risking APUA is estimated at a minimum of five (5) years depending greatly on the availability of financial resources.

#### 1.5.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR CLIMATE PROOFING ASSETS

The enabling measures identified in the BAEF were revisited and rated to determine the best combination of concrete actions that would serve the purposes outlined above. Table 10 shows the ratings that each measure received that led to the final selection of key actions to be implemented.

| CRITICAL BARRIER   | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS  | RATING |
|--|---|---|--------|
| High costs of the<br>phased<br>implementation of [risk<br>management] Plan | <ul> <li>Prioritize proactive<br/>management by providing<br/>adequate technical and<br/>financial resources to<br/>Utility</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>The Utility should be financially<br/>independent, hence the focus<br/>should be placed on increasing<br/>revenue so that key<br/>management processes can be<br/>implemented</li> </ul> | 3      |
|  | <ul> <li>Promote greater financial<br/>independence of Utility by<br/>supporting greater<br/>revenue generation<br/>[through tariff reform,<br/>reducing NRW<sup>7</sup> etc.] and<br/>increasing the budget<br/>allocation [to offset<br/>consumption by public<br/>sector]</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Improved revenue generation<br/>will contribute to better<br/>management planning and aid<br/>in the de-risking process</li> </ul>   | 1      |
|  | <ul> <li>Promote increased<br/>awareness about climate-<br/>proofing as a means to<br/>protect investment /<br/>assets and limit longer<br/>term expenditure by Utility</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Levels of awareness are high;</li> <li>The Utility should keep iterating this messaging year round.</li> </ul>   | 3      |
|  | <ul> <li>Provide necessary support<br/>for Utility's staff in<br/>preparing technically<br/>sound and competitive<br/>proposals for international<br/>financing bodies</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Critical to accessing donor<br/>financing</li> </ul>   | 1      |
|  | <ul> <li>Encourage government to<br/>allocate funding for<br/>necessary upgrades to<br/>water infrastructure</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>GoAB is aware and funding<br/>streams are In the pipeline</li> </ul>   | 3      |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> NRW: Non-revenue Water – water that is produced but *lost* alone the distribution line before it reaches the customer (such as through pipeline leaks or inaccurate metering).

| CRITICAL BARRIER  | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS   | RATING |
|---|---|--|--------|
|   | [considered national<br>development initiatives],<br>thus strengthening the<br>applications for climate<br>financing  |  |        |
| Increased levels of<br>public concerns about<br>the lengthy project<br>timeline | <ul> <li>Develop a comprehensive<br/>communication plan with a<br/>range of communication<br/>tools to keep public<br/>updated about progress,<br/>delays and service<br/>interruptions [using diverse<br/>communication channels]</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The Utility has a Comms Plan<br/>which can be updated include<br/>targeted messaging with re-<br/>risking activities have<br/>commenced.</li> </ul> | 2      |
|   | <ul> <li>Outline [and update] a<br/>pragmatic project schedule<br/>and communicate changes<br/>in a timely manner</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>To be included in the updated<br/>Comms Plan</li> </ul>   | 2      |
|   | <ul> <li>Schedule and publicize<br/>shorter periods of network<br/>outages, and focus on<br/>limited rationing mitigate<br/>against anxiety about<br/>network outages</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>To be included in overall planning<br/>and the most vulnerable should<br/>be prioritized</li> </ul>   | 2      |
|   | <ul> <li>Map out Utility dependent<br/>zones / communities and<br/>ensure scheduled outages<br/>have limited impacts on the<br/>most vulnerable</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Critical to aid in the<br/>implementation process</li> </ul>  | 1      |

The measures prioritized above were refined into the following concrete actions:

- 1. Develop a ten (10) year Climate Change *R*isk *M*anagement *P*lan for the Utility that defines the technical and financial resource needs.
- 2. Promote greater financial independence of Utility through improved revenue generation through tariff reform and reducing NRW<sup>8</sup>.
- 3. Train the Utility's *P*roject *M*anagement staff in preparing technically sound and competitive project proposals [for international financing bodies].
- 4. Map out Utility dependent zones / communities to ensure that scheduled network outages have limited impacts on the most vulnerable.

It was determined that the comprehensive Climate Change *R*isk *M*anagement *P*lan should encompass all the climate related water risks. Hence, the Terms of Reference for the *P*lan should not only include a scope that would cover actions and activities to climate proof the six (6) reverse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> NRW: Non-revenue Water – water that is produced but *lost* alone the distribution line before it reaches the customer (such as through pipeline leaks or inaccurate metering).

osmosis facilities, but should also be extended to include the development of a **G**roundwater Recharge Map for the Christian Valley watershed<sup>9</sup>.

The timeline for completion of the four actions is sixty (60) months, with a total project budget of approximately USD 2 000 000 | XCD 5 376 400. Further costs for*proofing* the six (6) reverse osmosis facilities and pumping stations are estimated at approximately USD 3 000 000 | XCD 8 064 600 – the timeline for which would be determined by the availability of finances.

Table 11 further develops these actions into activities that would aid in their implementation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Groundwater Recharge Map is necessary for implementation of Stormwater Reclamation and Reuse discussed in the next chapeter.

Table 11: Action and Activities for Climate Proofing Assets

| Action  | Activities to be<br>Implemented                                       | Source of<br>Funding | RESPONSIBLE BODY<br>AND FOCAL POINT  | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|---|---|----------------------|--|-----------|---|---|---|------------------------|
| Action 1: Develop<br>a comprehensive<br>ten (10) year<br>Climate Change<br>Risk Management<br>Plan for the Water<br>Utility that defines<br>the technical and | Activity 1.1: Develop TORS<br>for Risk Management Plan                | GoAB, APUA           | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager   | 3 months  | Availability of adequate<br>technical historical data;<br>Competing priorities with<br>Water Business Unit  | Request for<br>Proposals<br>document  | ProjectDevelopmentTeam assembled;Historical studies andclimate change data forUtility identified;TORSdraftedfinalized             | USD 1 500              |
| financial resource<br>needs.<br>[Month 1 – Month<br>18]   | Activity 1.2: Competitively<br>procure consultancy to<br>develop Plan | GCF, GoAB            | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager<br>Partner/s: MoF -<br>Tender's Board;<br>Chairman    | 6 months  | Lengthy procurement<br>process;<br>Lack of local / regional<br>qualified consultants;<br>Inadequate proposals   | Consulting<br>Contract<br>awarded   | Number of complete<br>proposals received;<br>Tender's Board<br>Evaluation Report;<br>Contractual Agreement<br>drafted             | USD 3 500              |
|   | Activity 1.3: Develop Risk<br>Management Plan                         | GCF, UNEP            | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager<br>Partner/s: Consultant                              | 9 months  | Availability of and<br>accessibility to adequate<br>technical data;<br>Stakeholder participation<br>and input;<br>Cost and timeline for<br>complementary technical<br>feasibility studies | Risk<br>Management<br>Plan completed,<br>approved by the<br>APUA Board and<br>disseminated to<br>public | Number of stakeholder<br>consultation held;<br>Internal review notes<br>for Draft Plan;<br>Technical Feasibility<br>Study/ report | USD 60 000             |
| Action 2: Promote<br>greater financial<br>independence of<br>Utility through<br>improved revenue<br>generation<br>through tariff                              | Activity 2.1: Procure<br>financial and technical<br>consultants       | CDB                  | Focal Point: OECS<br>Commission;<br>Procurement Unit<br>Partner/s: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager | 6 months  | Lengthly procurement<br>process;<br>Lack of local / regional<br>qualified consultants;  | Consulting<br>Contract<br>awarded   | Number of complete<br>proposals received;<br>Commission's<br>Procurement Report;<br>Contractual Agreement<br>drafted              | USD 7 500              |

| reform and<br>reducing NRW <sup>10</sup> .<br>[Month 6 – Month<br>60]   | Activity 2.2: Define new<br>water tariff structure for<br>residential and<br>commercial customers       | CBD | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager<br>Partner/s: Consultant | 12 months | Lack of political will;<br>Low stakeholder interest<br>/ buy-in   | Revised water<br>tariff and phased<br>implementation<br>plan;<br>Increased<br>revenue of Water<br>Utility | Number of stakeholder<br>consultations;<br>Number of media<br>appearances / briefs<br>published;<br>Water Utility financial<br>projections [post-<br>reform] | USD 75 000    |
|---|---|-----|---|-----------|---|---|--|---------------|
|   | Activity 2.3: Design and<br>Implement NRW Plan  | CBD | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager<br>Partner/s: Consultant | 48 months | Availability of GoAB co-<br>funding for NRW reform;<br>Frequent network<br>outages during<br>implementation;<br>Decline in water quality<br>during implementation | Phased NRW<br>Plan;<br>Increased<br>revenue of Water<br>Utility   | Number of technical<br>workshops with Water<br>Utility technical teams;<br>% reduction in NRW;<br>Annual % increase in<br>Water Utility revenue              | USD 1 500 000 |
| Action 3: Train the<br>Utility's Project<br>Management staff<br>in preparing<br>technically sound<br>and competitive<br>project proposals | Activity 3.1: Procure<br>consulting services for<br>Capacity Needs<br>Assessment and Training           | GCF | Focal Point: DOE  | 3 months  | Lack of local / regional qualified consultants;   | Consulting<br>Contract<br>awarded;<br>Consultant<br>workplan<br>approved                                  | Number of proposals<br>received;<br>Procurement Report;<br>Contractual Agreement<br>drafted  | USD 3 000     |
| [Month 12 –<br>Month 30]  | Activity 3.2: Conduct<br>Capacity Needs<br>Assessment for Water<br>Utility's project<br>management unit | GCF | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: Consultant   | 6 months  | Access to technical staff<br>with competing<br>responsibilities;  | Capacity Needs<br>Assessment<br>Report;<br>Technical<br>Workshop  | Capacity Needs<br>Assessment Survey<br>Instrument;<br># of completed surveys;  | USD 10 500    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> NRW: Non-revenue Water – water that is produced but *lost* alone the distribution line before it reaches the customer (such as through pipeline leaks or inaccurate metering).

|  |  |                    |   |          | Limited understanding of<br>Antiguan context [by<br>external consultant]                                    |  | # of completed formal<br>/ semi-formal<br>interviews;   |             |
|--|--|--------------------|---|----------|---|--|---|-------------|
|  | Activity 3.3: Develop and deliver training programme   | GCF, APUA,<br>GoAB | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: Consultant   | 9 months | Staff turnover rate during training period  | Training<br>programme<br>developed;<br>Staff trained                                   | Training programme<br>curriculum and tools;<br># and mode of training<br>activities<br># for staff trained and<br>certified;  | USD 20 000  |
| Action 4: Map out<br>Utility dependent<br>zones /<br>communities to<br>ensure that<br>scheduled<br>network outages<br>have limited<br>impacts on the | Activity 4.1: Update Water<br>Utility network map  | APUA, GoAB         | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager<br>Partner/s: DOE; DMU | 6 months | Availability of finances;<br>Limited in-house<br>technical capacity<br>Lack of equipment and<br>instruments | Updated water<br>network map,<br>zoned by<br>dependence on<br>access to piped<br>water | Expertise, equipment,<br>instruments sourced;<br># of field investigations<br>completed;<br>Digital and physical<br>maps produced   | USD 100 000 |
| most vulnerable.<br>[Month 12 –<br>Month 24]   | Activity 4.2: Develop<br>service plan to limit<br>network interruptions<br>through a consultative<br>process | APUA, GoAB         | Focal Point: APUA<br>Water Business Unit;<br>Water Manager                        | 6 months | Low interest and<br>stakeholder buy-in  | Service plan<br>developed and<br>disseminated<br>publicly                              | <ul> <li># of community visits<br/>and consultations held;</li> <li>Report of stakeholder<br/>input;</li> <li># of media<br/>appearances / briefs of<br/>public disclosure</li> </ul> | USD 15 000  |

## 1.6 ACTION PLAN FOR STORMWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE

#### 1.6.1 INTRODUCTION

Stormwater reclamation involves the collection, accumulation, treatment and storage of precipitation for reuse. Runoff is typically collected from *storm* drains, waterways and roadways instead of rooftops. A series of micro-catchments can be used to divert or slow runoff so that it can be stored before entering receiving waters. Across Antigua and Barbuda, extreme rainfall and seasonal weather events cause local watersheds to be inundated with flash flood waters that quickly drain into the marine environment. Hence, harvesting this water for non-potable uses, like groundwater recharge, agricultural irrigation or to replenish natural wetlands, could provide social, environmental and economic benefits – combating flooding and soil erosion and lessening nutrient loads discharged to marine waters (Pavelic et al. 2010).

In Antigua, diffusion of this technology will be focused in Christian Valley Watershed on the southwest coast of the island. Christian Valley was chosen because it is the most productive in terms of annual yield of groundwater in cubic feet per year (Cooper & Bowen 2001; GENIVAR 2011; HRW 2019). Stormwater reclamation and reuse would achieve the following key results in the Christian Valley watershed:

- i.) accumulation of surface water stores to accommodate groundwater recharge;
- ii.) provision of additional volumes for crop irrigation and watering livestock in the local area; and
- iii.) contribution to better overall watershed management through flood mitigation and soil erosion control – this would be particularly beneficial to vulnerable communities in the lower watershed.

#### 1.6.2 AMBITION FOR THE STORMWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE

Groundwater recharge will potentially increase the productivity of the Water Utility, with the cobenefit of lessening the island's dependency on seawater desalination for potable water supply. APUA aims to increase groundwater extraction of an additional 0.3mgd to offset demands on desalination. Secondary benefits to this technology include permitting neighbouring farmers to access microcatchments / dams for crop irrigation; while also lessening flash flooding downstream in the Jennings and West Palm Beach areas.

#### 1.6.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR STORMWATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE

The enabling measures identified in the BAEF were revisited and rated to determine the best combination of concrete actions that would enable APUA to create a series of micro-catchments in the Christian Valley area. Table 12 shows the ratings that each measure received that led to the final selection of key actions to be implemented.

| <b>CRITICAL BARRIER</b>   | ENABLING MEASURES  | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS   | RATING |
|---|--|--|--------|
| High costs of civil<br>works for<br>construction of<br>check dams and<br>micro-catchments | <ul> <li>Promote expansion<br/>opportunities for local heavy<br/>equipment / earthworks<br/>companies by providing them<br/>with construction contracts;<br/>thus, eliminating public sector<br/>purchase of equipment or<br/>employment of skilled workers</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Critical to support timely micro-<br/>catchment construction<br/>through public-private<br/>partnerships</li> </ul> | 1      |
|   | <ul> <li>Partner with tertiary institutions<br/>and equipment suppliers to<br/>provide training and<br/>certification for local heavy<br/>equipment operators to avoid<br/>outsourcing specialized jobs</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Excellent option to support<br/>preceding action</li> </ul>   | 1      |
|   | <ul> <li>Increase production at local<br/>quarries to offset the demand<br/>for increased volumes of<br/>materials during project<br/>implementation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Procurement constraint to<br/>project implementation</li> </ul>   | 1*     |
|   | <ul> <li>Reduce material costs and<br/>delays by procucuring imported<br/>materials in bulk</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Procurement constraint to<br/>project implementation</li> </ul>   | 1*     |

\* The two measures were combined into Action 3 listed below.

The measures prioritized above were refined into the following concrete actions:

- 1. Partner with local heavy equipment and earthworks companies to offset public sector purchase of equipment.
- 2. Train and certify local heavy equipment operators through collaboration with tertiary institutions and equipment suppliers to avoid outsourcing specialized jobs.
- 3. Develop comprehensive sustainable procurement plan for equipment and materials.

The timeline for completion of the three actions is forty-eight (48) months, with a budget of approximately USD 500 000 | XCD 1 344 100 to create the enabling environment necessary for the successful construction of micro-catchments in the Christian Valley watershed to achieve the outcomes listed in Section 6.1. The costs for the construction works is estimated at an additional USD 3 000 000 | XCD 8 064 600, with the expectation that the Utility will eventually benefit from

an additional 0.3mgd in groundwater extraction. The timeline for the construction works would be determined by the availability of finances.

Table 13 further develops these actions into activities that would aid in their implementation.

Table 13: Action and Activities for Stormwater Reclamation and Reuse

| Action   | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT  | TIMEFRAME | Risks   | Success<br>Criteria   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|--|--|----------------------|--|-----------|---|---|--|------------------------|
| Action 1: Partner<br>with local heavy<br>equipment and<br>earthworks<br>companies to<br>offset public<br>sector purchase<br>of equipment <sup>11</sup> | Activity 1.1: Create register of<br>local equipment / earthworks<br>companies (willing to pursue<br>public sector contracts)<br>[Issue Expression Of Interest]       | GoAB, APUA           | Focal Point: APUA Water<br>Business Unit; Water<br>Manager and MoW;<br>Director of Public Works<br>Partner/s: MoF/Tenders<br>Board; Permanent<br>Secretary                           | 3 months  | Lack of interest from<br>private sector companies<br>in working on government<br>issued contracts;  | Register of<br>Companies  | Criteria guidelines<br>drafted;<br>Registration call<br>promoted in media;<br>Registration portal<br>opened online | USD 2 500              |
| [Month 1 –<br>Month 20]  | Activity 1.2: Sign MOUs with successful companies  | GoAB, APUA           | Focal Point: APUA Water<br>Business Unit; Water<br>Manager and MoW;<br>Director of Public Works<br>Partner/s: MoF/Tenders<br>Board; Permanent<br>Secretary<br>MoLA; Attorney General | 3 months  | Lengthy negotiation<br>process;   | MOUs signed   | # of companies<br>shortlisted;<br>MOU draft by Legal<br>Affairs;   | -                      |
|  | Activity 1.3: Promote<br>procurement of equipment<br>needed for micro-catchment<br>construction<br>[Duty free waivers to be<br>provided for import under the<br>MOU] | GoAB, APUA           | Focal Point: APUA Water<br>Business Unit; Water<br>Manager and MoW;<br>Director of Public Works<br>Partner/s: MoF/Tenders<br>Board; Permanent<br>Secretary                           | 12 months | Accessibility to finance by<br>companies for equipment<br>purchase;<br>Lengthy procurement<br>process;<br>Expiration of duty free<br>waiver | Updated<br>inventory of<br>earthworks<br>equipment on<br>island | List of equipment;<br># of authorized duty<br>free waivers;<br># of waivers<br>surrendered at<br>Customs           | USD 10 000             |
| Action 2: Train<br>and certify local   | Activity 2.1: Complete training curriculum   | GEF, UNEP            | Focal Point: DOE   | 6 months  | Accessibility of skilled<br>expert teachers / trainers  | Curriculum<br>developed   | Draft curriculum   | USD 10 000             |

<sup>11</sup> Local companies to be provided with construction contracts for implementation works, in an attempt to eliminate public sector purchase of equipment or employment of skilled workers.

| Action  | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED   | Source of<br>Funding | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME | Risks  | Success<br>Criteria   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|---|---|----------------------|---|-----------|--|---|--|------------------------|
| heavy equipment<br>operators<br>through   |   |                      |   |           |  | Training<br>programme<br>initiated  |  |                        |
| collaboration<br>with tertiary<br>institutions and<br>equipment<br>suppliers to avoid<br>outsourcing<br>specialized jobs. | Activity 2.2: Secure<br>institutions and equipment<br>suppliers to conduct training | GEF, UNEP,<br>GoAB   | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoW; Director<br>of Public Works<br>ASC Engineering<br>Department; Principal | 6 months  | Lack of trained technical<br>experts in country;<br>High cost of procuring<br>overseas experts | Heavy<br>equipment<br>operators from<br>private and<br>public sectors<br>registered               | <ul> <li># of public sector<br/>operators registered<br/>for training;</li> <li># of private sector<br/>operators registered<br/>for training</li> </ul> | USD 100 000            |
| [Month 12 –<br>Month 48]  | Activity 2.3: Implement<br>training programme                                       | GEF, UNEP,<br>GoAB   | Focal Point: ASC<br>Engineering Department;<br>Principal;<br>Partner/s: Specialist<br>Trainers              | 18 months | Lack of commitment by operators;<br>Cost of training;  | Heavy<br>equipment<br>operators from<br>private and<br>public sectors<br>trained and<br>certified | Total # of operators<br>trained;'<br># of scholarships   | USD 150 000            |
| Action 3: Develop<br>comprehensive<br>sustainable<br>procurement plan<br>for equipment                                    | Activity 3.1: Develop TORS for sustainable procurement                              | APUA                 | Focal Point: DOE<br>Partner/s: MoW; Director<br>of Public Works   | 3 months  | Inadequate levels of interagency collaboration   | TORS finalized  | TORS drafted   | USD 2 000              |
| and materials.<br>[Month 9 –<br>Month 30]   | Activity 3.2: Update<br>procurement plan to include<br>increased quarry production  | GCF, UNEP,<br>GoAB   | Focal Point: DOE  | 6 months  | Varying levels of<br>commitment between<br>government and private<br>quarries                  | Procurement<br>Plan finalized   | # of collaboration<br>meetings;<br>Draft Procurement<br>Plan;  | USD 6 500              |
|   | Activity 3.3: Develop bulk procurement policy guidelines                            | GCF, UNEP,<br>GoAB   | Focal Point: DOE  | 6 months  |  | Policy<br>guidelines<br>adopted by<br>private and<br>public sector                                | Draft policy guidelines;<br># of consultations with<br>public and private<br>sector agents   | USD 10 500             |

| Action | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT | TIMEFRAME | Risks | Success<br>Criteria | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------|---|------------------------|
|        |                                 |                      |                                     |           |       |                     |   |                        |

## 1.7 ACTION PLAN FOR ATMOSPHERIC WATER GENERATORS

#### 1.7.1 INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric Water Generators (water makers) produce potable water by extracting vapour from humid, ambient air – either by condensation or exposing the air to hydroscopic substances (drying agents) called desiccants. In modern water makers, vapour from the air is drawn into the external/roof-mounted unit and adsorbed into a specialized desiccant. Water is then desorbed and condensed into droplets. The liquid is piped into a tank where it can receive up to three levels of treatment before the purified drinking water is dispensed at a tap or cooler. Some water makers are solar powered and can even be fitted with network-connected water quality monitoring systems (Watergen 2018; ZeroMassWater 2018).<sup>12,13</sup>

#### **1.7.2** AMBITION FOR ATOMOSPHERIC WATER GENERATORS

The TAP will focus on piloting *roof* units on private or public buildings that provide drinking water dispensed at a cooler throughout the day, with specific focus on offices, schools and health facilities. The diffusion targets for the pilot phase is to install AWG units in 50 private offices, 50 schools, 25 clinics and/or doctors' offices and 20 government offices.

#### 1.7.3 ACTION AND ACTIVITIES FOR ATMOSPHERIC WATER GENERATORS

The enabling measures identified in the BAEF were rated to determine the best combination of concrete actions that would result in successfully piloting AWGs as alternative water coolers. Table 14 shows the ratings that each measure received that led to the final selection of key actions to be implemented.

| <b>CRITICAL BARRIER</b>   | ENABLING MEASURES   | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS  | RATING |
|---|---|---|--------|
| Consumers<br>apprehensive to<br>make the higher<br>initial investment<br>in comparison to<br>traditional water<br>coolers | <ul> <li>Establish partnerships<br/>between local retailers and<br/>AWG manufacturers [e.g.<br/>leveraging multilateral<br/>relationships established<br/>through the Chamber of<br/>Commerce]</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Key to procurement of units for<br/>pilot phase</li> </ul>   | 1      |
|   | <ul> <li>Incentivize local retailers to<br/>purchase and stock AWG</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Priority should be given to<br/>introducing the technology through<br/>a pilot programme.</li> </ul> | 2      |

#### Table 14: Final Ratings of Enabling Measures for Atmospheric Water Generators

<sup>12</sup> SOURCE Perfect water for every person, every place. Zero Mass Water, <u>www.zeromasswater.com</u> <sup>13</sup> Genius Technology Energy efficient heat transfer and dehumidifying technologies. Watergen USA, <u>https://www.watergenusa.com/technology-2/technology/</u>

| CRITICAL BARRIER  | ENABLING MEASURES  | STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS  | RATING |
|---|--|---|--------|
|   | <ul> <li>units by zero rating import<br/>duties and taxes</li> <li>Design a robust education<br/>and awareness campaign to<br/>educate consumers about the<br/>technology and help them<br/>understand the longer term<br/>benefits</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Incentives may not be required<br/>after the pilot</li> <li>An education campaign is very<br/>necessary for such a new<br/>technology</li> </ul> | 1*     |
|   | <ul> <li>Encourage bottled water<br/>business to enter the market<br/>and provide rental or rent-to-<br/>own options to consumers<br/>[e.g. through avenues like the<br/>entrepreneurial development<br/>programme]</li> </ul>                 | <ul> <li>This action can be explored as a<br/>scenario to scale up the diffusion of<br/>the technology after the pilot</li> </ul>                         | 3      |
| High consumer<br>concerns about<br>system's<br>performance and<br>reliability | <ul> <li>Design a robust education<br/>and awareness campaign to<br/>educate consumers about the<br/>technology and help them<br/>understand the overall<br/>process</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>An education campaign is very<br/>necessary for such a new<br/>technology</li> </ul>   | 1*     |
|   | <ul> <li>Provide training and<br/>certification for technicians</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Specialist technicians will be<br/>needed during the pilot phase and<br/>more importantly when diffusion is<br/>scaled up</li> </ul>             | 1      |
|   | <ul> <li>Pilot technology [e.g. in<br/>government buildings] and<br/>dessimate results to<br/>engender consumer<br/>confidence</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Excellent way to introduce the<br/>technology</li> </ul>   | 1      |

\* The measures were deemed complementary and combined into a single Action.

The measures identified for development into concrete actions are:

- 1. Incentivise local businesses to establish partnerships with AWG manufacturers and market cooler units. [e.g. leveraging multilateral relationships established through the Chamber of Commerce and working with bottled water businesses]
- 2. Design a robust education and awareness campaign to teach consumers about AWGs and help them understand the longer term benefits.
- 3. Develop programmes to train and certify specialist technicians.
- 4. Pilot technology in government buildings and use results scale up dissemination.

The timeline for completion of the four actions is sixty (60) months, with a total project budget of approximately USD 3 500 000 | XCD 9 408 700 to achieve the quantitative target for diffusion outlined in Table 1.

Table 15 further develops these actions into activities that would aid in their implementation.

Table 15: Action and Activities for Atmospheric Water Generators

| Action  | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | SOURCE OF FUNDING   | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME | Risks  | SUCCESS CRITERIA   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION  | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|---|--|---------------------|---|-----------|--|--|--|------------------------|
| Action 1: Incentivise<br>local businesses to<br>establish<br>partnerships with<br>AWG manufacturers<br>and market cooler<br>units.<br>[Month 1 – Month<br>18] | Activity 1.1: Engage<br>and sign MOU with MoF<br>to promote incentive<br>programme             | GoAB                | Focal Point:DOE<br>Partners: MoF;<br>Permanent Secretary<br>MoLA; Attorney General        | 6 weeks   | Delays in finalizing agreement   | Financial incentives<br>approved<br>MOU signed   | MOU drafted and<br>legal review<br>undertaken  | USD 500                |
|   | Activity 1.2: Establish<br>partnerships between<br>local businesses and<br>AWG manufacturers   | GCF, Private Sector | Focal Point:DOE<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; Chairman                        | 6 months  | Accessibility to AWG manufactures  | Partnerships<br>established  | # of AWG<br>manufacturers<br>engaged<br>Partnership<br>agreements drafted                      | USD 4 500              |
|   | Activity 1.3: Launch<br>incentive programme<br>to allow local<br>businesses bulk<br>imports    | GCF                 | Focal Point:DOE<br>Partner/s: A&B Chamber<br>of Commerce; Chairman<br>Economic Consultant | 12 months | Delays in incentive roll<br>out<br>Lengthy importation<br>process                            | Customs & Excise<br>Div Report<br>Post-incentive<br>economic study                               | # on AWG units<br>imported   | USD 22 500             |
| Action 2: Design a<br>robust education<br>and awareness<br>campaign to teach<br>consumers about<br>AWGs and help  | Activity 2.1: Procure<br>consulting services to<br>develop education and<br>awareness campaign | GCF                 | Focal Point:DOE   | 3 months  | Delays in procurement<br>Availability of quailed<br>consultants                              | Consulting Contract signed   | RFP published<br># of applications<br>received<br>Contract drafted                             | USD 2 500              |
| them understand<br>the longer term<br>benefits<br>[Month 12 – Month<br>24]  | Activity 2.2: Design campaign and tools  | GCF                 | Focal Point:DOE   | 2 months  | Challenges with making<br>activities and tools<br>specific to Antiguan &<br>Barbudan context | Education campaign<br>and tools finalized<br>KAP study to show<br>positive/negative<br>reception | Campaign activities<br>drafted for approval<br>Campaign and tools<br>tested in small<br>groups | USD 12 500             |
|   | Activity 2.3: Launch nationwide campaign   | GCF                 | Focal Point:DOE   | 6 months  | Limited feedback on success of messaging   | Education campaign successful  | # of media, training<br>and public events<br>held<br>Feedback surveys                          | USD 20 500             |

| Action  | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED  | Source of Funding | RESPONSIBLE BODY AND<br>FOCAL POINT   | TIMEFRAME | Risks  | SUCCESS CRITERIA                              | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
|---|--|-------------------|---|-----------|--|---|---|------------------------|
| Action 3: Develop<br>programmes to train<br>and certify specialist<br>technicians<br>[Month 12 – Month        | Activity 3.1: Procure<br>services of AWG<br>manufacturers to<br>conduct in-country<br>trainings                          | GCF               | Focal Point:DOE   | 6 months  | Lengthy procurement<br>process with overseas<br>entities   | Contractual agreements signed                 | Contract drafted<br>Training outline and<br>materials<br>developed/approved   | USD 5 500              |
| 36]   | Activiyt 3.2: Conduct<br>training for educators in<br>technical and vocational<br>institutions<br>[Training-of-Trainers] | GCF               | Focal Point:DOE   | 3 months  | High cost of training  | Educators trained<br>and certified            | # of training hours<br>logged<br># of educators<br>certified<br>(disaggregated by<br>institutions)  | USD 25 500             |
|   | Activity 3.3: Launch<br>training course in select<br>institutions  | GCF, GoAB         | Focal Point:DOE<br>Partner/s: ASC<br>Engineering Department;<br>Principal<br>ABICE; Principal | 12 months |  | 1 <sup>st</sup> cohort of students<br>trained | <ul> <li># of courses run in Yr</li> <li>1 (disaggregated by institutions)</li> <li># of students enrolled and successful</li> </ul>                                      | USD 45 000             |
| Action 4: Pilot<br>technology in<br>government and<br>private sector<br>buildings and use<br>results scale up | Activity 4.1:<br>Competitively select<br>schools and<br>government/private<br>sector offices for pilot                   | AF, GCF           | Focal Point:DOE   | 6 months  | Limited capacity in<br>government agencies to<br>apply for pilot scheme<br>Limited interest in private<br>sector participation | Selection Report                              | # of application<br>received<br>Types of AWG units<br>requested   | USD 6 500              |
| dissemination<br>[Target: 150 AWG<br>Units]<br>[Month 37 – Month<br>60]                                       | Activity 4.2: Launch<br>public/private sector<br>AWG unit installation<br>sub-project                                    | AF, GCF           | Focal Point:DOE   | 12 months | Delays in importation  | Pilot project Report                          | # of units installed  | USD 400 000            |
|   | Activity 4.3: Collect data<br>and develop scenarios<br>for scaling up  | AF, GCF           | Focal Point:DOE   | 18 months | Inconsistent water quality<br>tests<br>Accessibility to relevant<br>data<br>Malfunctioning units                               | Up-Scaling Report                             | <ul> <li># of units with data collection function</li> <li># of functional units at end of pilot</li> <li>Water Quality testing and maintenance checklist/logs</li> </ul> | USD 36 000             |

## 1.8 PROJECT IDEAS FOR THE WATER SECTOR

A list of project ideas was generated for each prioritized technology, and a single project was selected for further development. Table 16 shows the actions that would facilitate effective implementation of the chosen project.

#### Table 16: Project Ideas for the Water Sector

| TECHNOLOGY                  | Actions   | PROJECT IDEAS  |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Solar Pumping<br>Systems    | <ul> <li>Establish Solar Water Professionals<br/>Business Association to promote<br/>commercial interest and provide a pool of<br/>specialists on solar pumping systems.</li> </ul>                               | Residential solar distribution<br>pump installation scheme<br>Solar filtration / desalination<br>scheme for agriculture irrigation                                   |
|                             | <ul> <li>Launch special incentive windows for local<br/>suppliers to invest in solar pumping business<br/>opportunities</li> </ul>  |  |
|                             | <ul> <li>Launch a special incentive window for the<br/>private and public sector to acquire solar<br/>pumping equipment Develop upskilling<br/>programmes for plumbing and electrical<br/>technicians.</li> </ul> |  |
|                             | <ul> <li>Develop upskilling programmes and train<br/>plumbing and electrical technicians.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Rainwater<br>Harvesting     | <ul> <li>Pilot a range of up to five (5) new rainwater<br/>storage options for consumers.</li> </ul>  | Community cistern rehabilitation schemes   |
|                             | <ul> <li>Launch an Innovators Competition to design<br/>and demonstrate novel low-cost rainwater<br/>storage options [by increasing access to<br/>financing and lowering investment risks].</li> </ul>            |  |
|                             | <ul> <li>Strengthen technical capacity of registered<br/>NGOs and community groups in proposal<br/>preparation, project planning, design,<br/>coordination, and financial management.</li> </ul>                  |  |
|                             | <ul> <li>Rehabilitate [up to 10] community cisterns<br/>with storage, distribution, and income<br/>generation streams.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Water Saving<br>Devices     | <ul> <li>Develop and roll-out a water efficiency<br/>labelling system that rates devices based on<br/>volumes of water saved [and partner with<br/>retailers to use labelling in store].</li> </ul>               | Inter-school Water Usage Chart<br>design competition coupled with<br>media information drive   |
|                             | <ul> <li>Design a educational Water Usage Chart that<br/>shows indicative uses of water around the<br/>property and complementary water<br/>conservation tips.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Climate-<br>Proofing Assets | <ul> <li>Develop a ten (10) year Climate Change<br/>Risk Management Plan for the Utility that<br/>defines the technical and financial resource<br/>needs.</li> </ul>  | Water Utility Climate Change Risk<br>Management Plan with<br>comprehensive GIS piped-water<br>network map, zoned by socio-<br>economically vulnerable<br>communities |

| TECHNOLOGY                             | Actions   | PROJECT IDEAS  |
|--|---|--|
|  | <ul> <li>Promote greater financial independence of<br/>Utility through improved revenue generation<br/>through tariff reform and reducing NRW<sup>14</sup>.</li> </ul>                            |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Train the Utility's Project Management staff<br/>in preparing technically sound and<br/>competitive project proposals [for<br/>international financing bodies].</li> </ul>               |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Map out Utility dependent zones /<br/>communities to ensure that scheduled<br/>network outages have limited impacts on<br/>the most vulnerable.</li> </ul>                               |  |
| Stormwater<br>Reclamation<br>and Reuse | <ul> <li>Partner with local heavy equipment and<br/>earthworks companies to offset public<br/>sector purchase of equipment.</li> </ul>  | -  |
|  | <ul> <li>Train and certify local heavy equipment<br/>operators through collaboration with tertiary<br/>institutions and equipment suppliers to<br/>avoid outsourcing specialized jobs.</li> </ul> |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Develop comprehensive sustainable<br/>procurement plan for equipment and<br/>materials.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Atmospheric<br>Water<br>Generators     | <ul> <li>Incentivise local businesses to establish<br/>partnerships with AWG manufacturers and<br/>market cooler units.</li> </ul>  | Pilot cooler units in the health and education sectors |
|  | <ul> <li>Design a robust education and awareness<br/>campaign to teach consumers about AWGs<br/>and help them understand the longer term<br/>benefits.</li> </ul>                                 |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> NRW: Non-revenue Water – water that is produced but *lost* alone the distribution line before it reaches the customer (such as through pipeline leaks or inaccurate metering).

# **SECTION 2: BUILDING SECTOR**

## 2.1 TECHNOLOGY ACTION PLAN AND PROJECT IDEAS FOR BUILDING SECTOR

#### 2.1.1 BUILDING SECTOR OVERVIEW

Climate change has led to an increase in the occurrence of high-intensity hurricanes making landfall on the small island developing state (SIDS) of Antigua and Barbuda. Historically, most tropical depressions impacting the islands were of low intensity, usually tropical storms, or Category 1–3 hurricanes. Nine Category 4 and two Category 5 hurricanes have been recorded since 1850<sup>15</sup>, with eight of these more intense storms occurring in the last 15 years. Before 2017, there was no Category 5 hurricane formed in the Eastern Caribbean. Given the history of major hurricanes, the country's current infrastructure is designed to minimally withstand the impacts of hurricanes up to Category 3. However, continuing warming conditions over the Atlantic Ocean are resulting in an increased intensity of hurricanes in the Caribbean, with Antigua and Barbuda experiencing its first recorded Category 5 hurricane which was Irma in 2017<sup>16</sup>.

Since existing building codes in Antigua and Barbuda have not accounted for Category 4 and 5 hurricanes, the impacts of such events have been severe, causing significant damage to houses and infrastructure, as well as disrupting basic services such as health, education, telecommunications, electricity, water, sewage, and waste systems.

Category 4 and 5 resiliency standards can be incorporated into new buildings much easier than existing structures. The challenge of upgrading existing buildings to be Category 4 and 5 resilient is the cost which is estimated to be over US\$ 6.4 billion<sup>17</sup>. In 2020, the GDP of Antigua and Barbuda was US\$1.42B, a 14.86% decline from 2019 (US\$1.66B)<sup>18</sup>.Therefore, to transition the building sector alone to withstand climate change impacts, will require the generation of the funds needed.

The Government of Antigua and Barbuda (GoAB) is currently implementing a project entitled Building Climate Resilience Through Innovative Financing Mechanisms for Climate Change Adaptation (2016–2020; SCCF; US\$ 11,390,000), funded by a grant of US\$ 5 million from the Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF) and co-financing from the GoAB. Among its primary focus areas are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Antigua and Barbuda Meteorological Services. Antigua Tropical Cyclones 1851–2018. Available at: <u>http://www.antiguamet.com/Climate/HURRICANE\_SEASONS/AntiguanStorms.txt</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Antigua and Barbuda GCF Funding Proposal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Resilience cost data from applications to the Sustainable Island Resource Framework (SIRF) Fund.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> <u>https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/ATG/antigua-and-barbuda/gnp-gross-national-product</u>

i) developing innovative financing mechanisms to fund adaptation interventions through the Sustainable Island Resource Framework Fund (SIRF Fund) established under section 91 of the Environmental Protection Act, 2019, including for the building sector; and

ii) strengthening national policies and plans to promote adaptation to climate change through *inter alia* updating the national building code, which includes considerations for Category 4 and 5 hurricanes.

In its current form, the SIRF Fund is optimised for small grants and a revolving loan program. Small grants are provided to vulnerable community buildings in vulnerable areas of the country such as churches, schools, and community centres. The revolving fund program offers highly concessional non-collateralized loans to individual homeowners. The loan portfolio for individual applications is capped at 5% of the total funds available at a given time. The loans are to be used for the incremental cost of the climate interventions only and cannot be used for normal construction costs. The incremental cost is calculated by identifying the agreed adaptation interventions compared to normal construction cost. The SIRF Fund is the only funding mechanism of this type in Antigua and Barbuda and is designed to not compete with the local private financial sector<sup>19</sup>.

Antigua and Barbuda currently has the highest per capita consumption of electricity of all Eastern Caribbean states<sup>20</sup>. Additionally, the country has one of the highest domestic electricity tariffs in the Caribbean region. Domestic consumption of electricity accounts for some 40% of all national consumption and the percentage of the population not consuming energy is equivalent of 0.48%<sup>21</sup>.

In terms of energy consumption for households in Antigua and Barbuda, there is an estimated total of 30 000 households with an average household electricity consumption of 8.25 kWh/day or just above 3 000 kWh/year<sup>22</sup>. Due to its relative flatness and ease of access to almost all areas, Antigua and Barbuda has electricity penetration to 95.4% of the country. In fact, only 1.2% of households were identified as not having access to any form of lighting<sup>23</sup>.

The Department of Environment conducted a socio-economic study in 2020, including a national survey of 1100 people in Antigua and Barbuda to evaluate the costs and effects of climatic events on households. The survey found that the average household in the country typically pays approximately 7-10% of its monthly income on electricity. The survey targeted persons with an income of less than XCD1,500 monthly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Antigua and Barbuda GCF Build Funding Proposal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> https://antiguaobserver.com/500-led-lights-arrive-for-roadways/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Antigua and Barbuda's Third National Communication

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Antigua and Barbuda Renewable Energy Roadmap, IRENA, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 2011 Population and Housing Census of Antigua and Barbuda

The actions and projects mentioned in the building sector segment of this document aim at assisting with the development of building resilience and lowering building energy consumption in Antigua and Barbuda.

## 2.2 ACTION PLAN FOR LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES (LED)

#### 2.2.1 INTRODUCTION

A light-emitting diode (LED) is a semiconductor that emits light when an electric current is passed through it<sup>24</sup>. LEDs have several benefits compared to other lighting technology such as CFL and incandescent, which includes longevity, brightness, and electrical cost. In terms of longevity, LEDs can last up to 25,000 hours. Putting this into perspective, if you were to use a LED bulb 24/7, you would have to replace the bulb every 15 years. Compact fluorescent lamp (CFL) bulbs tend to have a lifetime of about 8,000 hours and incandescent 750 hours<sup>25</sup>. Incandescent light tends to emit 15 lumens per watt, whereas CFL emits 60 lumens per watt. However, LEDs emit 72 lumens per watt. A 40sq ft living room would require approximately 800 lumens. In order to reach a brightness of 800 lumens, an incandescent bulb would require 60 watts, CFL about 14 watts and an LED, 10 watts<sup>26</sup>. This makes LED highly efficient lighting technology. Those that are "ENERGY STAR" rated use up to 75% less energy<sup>27</sup>.

The drawback of LEDs is that it is more expensive relative to the other technologies. Given the fluctuations in the electricity supply in Antigua and Barbuda, bulbs tend to blow regularly and must be replaced more often than normally would be required. As a result, the citizens bear this cost and therefore choose the cheapest option available. Nevertheless, the price of LEDs has been decreasing rapidly over the years due to the improvement in manufacturing and increased demand. In 2011, LED bulbs cost between USD45-50, which fell to less than USD 10 by 2018<sup>28</sup>.

Less energy consumed by LEDs means that there would be reduction for the consumer on their energy bill. Table 17 below gives a breakdown of the possible saving annually by switching to LED technology. Based on the table below, by converting from incandescent to LED, one can reduce lighting energy cost by 85% and by switching from CFL to LED, would reduce it by 36%.

<sup>25</sup> https://www.nopec.org/blognewsroom/blog/comparing-led-vs-cfl-vs-incandescent-light-bulbs/

<sup>26</sup> https://www.homelectrical.com/cfls-vs-halogen-vs-fluorescent-vs-incandescent-vs-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> https://www.ledsmagazine.com/leds-ssl-design/materials/article/16701292/what-is-an-led

led.6.html#:~:text=Traditional%20incandescent%20light%20bulbs%20emit%20about%2015%20lumens%20per%20watt.&text=CFL' s%20or%20fluorescent%20light%20bulbs,as%20a%2060%2Dwatt%20bulb

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/save-electricity-and-fuel/lighting-choices-save-you-money/led-lighting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> https://www.ledinside.com/news/2018/8/global\_led\_lighting\_products\_price\_trend

| LIGHTING TECHNOLOGY (800<br>LUMENS) | DAILY KWH<br>Consumed @ 8hrs | ANNUAL KWH CONSUMED<br>(@8hr/day) | ANNUAL COST<br>(XCD 0.9/KWH) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Incandescent (60 Watts)             | 0.48                         | 175.2                             | \$157.68                     |
| CFL (14 Watts)                      | 0.11                         | 40.15                             | \$36.14                      |
| LED (9 Watts)                       | 0.07                         | 25.55                             | \$23.00                      |

#### Table 17: Annual Saving by Switching to LEDs

Apart from financial saving, the use of LED can also assist in the reduction of GHG emissions into the atmosphere. Table 18 below provides a breakdown of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions annually by various lighting technologies.

#### Table 18: Annual CO2 Emissions of Lighting Technologies

| LIGHTING TECHNOLOGY (800<br>LUMENS) | Daily KWH Consumed<br>@ 8hrs | Annual kWh Consumed<br>(@8hr/day) | CO <sub>2</sub> PRODUCED<br>ANNUALLY (HEAVY<br>FUEL OIL POWER<br>GENERATION) <sup>29</sup> (KG) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Incandescent (60 Watts)             | 0.48                         | 175.2                             | 47.30   |
| CFL (14 Watts)                      | 0.11                         | 40.15                             | 10.84   |
| LED (9 Watts)                       | 0.07                         | 25.55                             | 6.90  |

On a national scale, Antigua and Barbuda has started implementing LED technology. In 2017 the Government of Antigua and Barbuda in collaboration with the Caribbean Development Bank started a project of replacing 14,000 high-pressure sodium and mercury vapor lamps with LEDs. According to Antigua Public Utilities Authority (APUA), street lighting accounts for 10% of the country's demand and the conversion would reduce the consumption by 75%<sup>30</sup>. The project aimed to reduce street lighting consumption by 4,900MWh and 3,200 tonnes of carbon dioxide emissions by 2019<sup>31</sup>. The CDB financed this project through a loan of US\$5.9 million as well as an additional grant of a US\$1million. No projects of this type have been implemented on household, commercial and public buildings.

#### Country Economic Development Economic Benefits

• Large-scale implementation of the product can reduce the cost for electricity usage at the consumer level which when accumulated would decrease the country's energy consumption. This would assist in the reduction of the energy demand of households,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> <u>https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/co2-emission-fuels-d\_1085.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> <u>https://antiguaobserver.com/500-led-lights-arrive-for-roadways/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> https://www.caribank.org/newsroom/news-and-events/over-14000-streetlights-antigua-barbuda-be-replaced-leds

public and commercial buildings, thereby reducing the amount of energy needed to be generated by APUA from fossil fuels.

- Entrepreneurial benefits as persons can import and resell variations and variety of LED fixtures for households, commercial and other buildings.
- Savings to the customers can be re-invested in other sectors of the economy.

#### Social Benefits

- LED technologies enhance health and living conditions for building occupants especially as it relates to the eyes. The use of high-frequency electronic ballasts helps reduce eyestrain and fatigue, increase productivity in workplaces and provide a better quality of life.<sup>32</sup>
- Society would gain an understanding of energy-efficient technology available for buildings which they can implement to assist in reducing their energy demand and consumption.

#### **Environmental Benefits**

• Energy-efficient lighting such as LED can contribute to the decrease in energy demand of the country, consequential less heavy fuel oil used for energy production and ultimately, reduction in GHG emissions.

#### 2.2.2 AMBITIONS FOR LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES

Replacing 20,000 incandescent light bulbs and 10,000 CFL over 2 years in 5000 homes.

This action would reduce the capital investment required for the purchasing of the technology. With access to the technology, homeowners will gain an appreciation for energy-efficient technology and its ability to reduce their energy consumption along with benefitting from the financial saving that would incur as a result. The replacement of the 20,000 incandescent bulbs is expected to reduce 808 tonnes of  $CO_2$  emissions annually whilst the replacement of the 10,000 CFL would save 39.4 tonnes of  $CO_2$  annually.

#### 2.2.3 ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES

The economic and financial barriers for LED technology are that it is the most expensive lighting technology on the market, in terms of capital investment. Therefore, most people tend to purchase the cheaper options of incandescent and CFL. The measure to overcome this is bulk buying and distribution of LEDs with a low-interest financial payback scheme through billing. This would allow

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> https://www.eaton.com/sg/en-us/company/news-insights/lighting-resource/trends/4-major-health-benefits-of-led-lighting.html

the consumer to afford the technology without having to pay a higher upfront cost and using a fraction of the savings for the payback.

From information gained in the stakeholder consultations regarding public awareness, it was mentioned that most of the public of Antigua and Barbuda know of the technology, but they are unaware of how LEDs can assist in reducing their energy consumption and by extension decrease their monthly electricity bill. Therefore, public awareness campaigns are needed to help the public understand the advantages of these technologies compared to the others on the market.

Table 19 below summarised the identified barriers and measures to overcome for LED technology.

| CATEGORIES                   | <b>IDENTIFIED BARRIERS</b>  | MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS  |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL       | High capital cost of the technology as<br>compared to other options available<br>such as CFL and incandescent | LED Distribution Drive with<br>structured financial payback<br>mechanism for consumers |
| INFORMATION AND<br>AWARENESS | Lack of public awareness on the<br>energy and monetary saving from<br>using the technology                    | Public Awareness campaigns, events and workshops                                       |

Table 19: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for LEDs

Table 20 below gives an assessment for the measures to be implemented to overcome the barriers for LED technology.

|    | MEASURES TO OVERCOME<br>BARRIERS   | Assessment  | RANKING |
|----|--|---|---------|
| 1. | LED Distribution program<br>with structured business<br>model for payback by the | This measure would make the technology more<br>affordable for the consumers as the initial cost is<br>high compared to the other technologies available.                  | High    |
|    | customers  | Creating an avenue where the public would be able to afford energy-saving technology for their homes and other buildings.   |         |
|    |  | Considering the cost of technology and lack of diffusion of the technology, this measure would assist with higher diffusion of the technology with ease of affordability. |         |
|    |  | The initial cost of the technology would be reduced for the consumers with an easier method to payback  |         |
| 2. | Public Awareness<br>campaigns and outreach                                       | This measure is relatively inexpensive as it requires<br>mostly data collection and educating the public on<br>the technology.  | High    |
|    |  | Once accurate data is collected and case studies developed relating to the applicability of the technology in Antigua and Barbuda, there is a                             |         |

| MEASURES TO OVERCOME<br>BARRIERS | ASSESSMENT   | RANKING |
|----------------------------------|--|---------|
|                                  | possibility of persuading the population to adopt the technology.  |         |
|                                  | Data for this program can be collected from the LED<br>Distribution program. From the distributions, case<br>studies can be created to share with the rest of the<br>population.             |         |
|                                  | Not much of the population understands the<br>pertinence and saving of this technology. Therefore,<br>this measure would increase the knowledge<br>capacity of the public on the technology. |         |
|                                  | With the information shared with the public, they<br>would have a better understanding of the<br>applicability of this technology and the potential<br>saving.                               |         |

Table 21 describes the actions of implementation based on the measures for LED technology

| Action 1: | LED Distribution Drive: This (1) involves the bulk procurement of the LED technologies and creating a mass distribution of the technology and (2) the development of a financial payback scheme for the customers to ease the burden of the high initial cost.    |
|-----------|---|
| Action 2: | Public Awareness of the Energy and Cost Saving of LED would surround the collection of the energy-saving potential of the LED technology specific to Antigua and Barbuda. This information would be shared with the public through workshops and other campaigns. |

| Table 21: Description | of the Selected Actions | s for project ideas for LEDs |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
|                       |                         |                              |

Table 22 provides a breakdown of the activities related to the actions in Table 21 above.

| Table 22: Activities f | for Actions for LEDs |
|------------------------|----------------------|
|------------------------|----------------------|

| ACTIVITIES FOR ACTION IMPLEMENTATION                            |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Action 1: LED I   | Distribution Drive  |  |  |
| Activity 1.1  | Procurement of LEDs   |  |  |
| Activity 1.2  | Develop business model for payback                                |  |  |
| Activity 1.3  | Launch, advertise and promote LED Distribution Drive              |  |  |
| Activity 1.4  | Distribution of LEDs  |  |  |
| Activity 1.5  | Monitoring and Updating of Payback system                         |  |  |
| Action 2: Public Awareness of the Energy and Cost Saving of LED |   |  |  |
| Activity 2.1  | Gather data on LED energy and cost savings in Antigua and Barbuda |  |  |
| Activity 2.2  | Create action plans for Public Awareness                          |  |  |
| Activity 2.3  | Schedule and Execute Events and Workshops                         |  |  |
| Activity 2.4  | Create advertising material for public sharing                    |  |  |

| ACTIVITIES FOR | ACTION IMPLEMENTATION |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| Activity 2.5   | Evaluate Campaign     |

#### 2.2.4 STAKEHOLDERS AND TIMELINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF TAP

Table 23 below provides a breakdown of the role that stakeholders would play for the activities mentioned in Table 22.

| NAME & ACTION  | ROLE OF STAKEHOLDER   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Ministry of Energy                                   | • For action 1, review and finalise the draft procurement and payback system drafted.   |  |  |
| Ministry of Energy                                   | • For action 2, assist in the creation of the analysis of the data and creating the content to share with the public.   |  |  |
| Department of the                                    | • The DoE would be the primary entity involved in the procurement and distribution of the LEDs for Action 1. The DOE would also help in the advertising and promotion of the program.   |  |  |
| Environment  | • For Action 2, the Data Management Unit of the DoE would play a consultancy role on providing guidelines for the collection and analysis of the data pertaining to LED viability in Antigua and Barbuda.   |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda<br>Public Utilities (APUA)       | <ul> <li>As the main utility company in Antigua and Barbuda, APUA would play the secondary role in the procurement and distribution of the LEDs for Action 1. Additionally, they would assist in the development of the payback system for the customers and monitor and update the system accordingly.</li> <li>For Action 2, APUA would be secondarily responsible for data collection and developing case studies for public sharing.</li> </ul> |  |  |
|  | • They would also assist in scheduling the workshops for the public to share the findings and case studies. Finally, it would be the responsible entity for evaluating the public awareness program.  |  |  |
|  | • Quality control and assessment of the LED technology being procured in Action 1.  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda<br>Bureau of Standards<br>(ABBS) | • For Action 2, the ABBS input would be needed for the content to be shared with the public. Considering its assistance in the development of the CARICOM Energy Efficiency Labelling Standards for refrigerators, air conditioners, CFL and LEDs that was completed in November 2020 <sup>33</sup> , it would be able to advise on the energy efficiency content to share with the public.   |  |  |
| Attorney General<br>Chambers                         | • Review and sign off on the financial payback mechanism. They would also create the contractual agreement between APUA and the customer.   |  |  |

| Table 23: Role of Stakeholders f |
|----------------------------------|
|----------------------------------|

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> <u>https://energy.crosq.org/antigua-and-barbuda-bureau-of-standards/</u>

#### 2.2.5 SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES

#### Action 1: LED Distribution Drive

The procurement phase will be over a 6-month period, along with the development of the financial payback mechanism and the launch and advertising of the project. The distribution and monitoring and evaluation would start after the launch and run for 18 months. Therefore, the overall period for this action will be 2 years.

#### Action 2: Public Awareness of the Energy and Cost Saving of LED

This project would run over a period of 2 years. The first year would incorporate the collection of data for LED saving in Antigua and Barbuda and creating the action plans for the awareness campaign. The second year would entail the scheduling and hosting of the workshops, events, programs for the public along with the creation and distribution of informational material. In the final 3 months of year 2, the evaluation of the program would occur.

#### 2.2.6 ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTION AND ACTIVITIES

#### 2.2.6.1 Capacity Needs

The capacity needed for Action 1 would be the development of the financial payback system and the monitoring and updating of the payback system. However, the capacity needed for this is available within the Ministry of Energy and its subsidiary APUA. Therefore, these stakeholders would be able to complete these activities without having to hire external capacity.

The capacity required for Action 2, , collection of data and the evaluation of the public awareness project, currently exists within the Department of the Environment's has a Data Management Unit. The DMU has the capacity required for the data collection of energy and financial saving of LEDs, with the aid of the Ministry of Energy and APUA.

#### 2.2.6.2 Cost Estimation

The total cost for Action 1 is estimated to be around USD 245,000. Most of the cost would be in the procurement phase of the LEDs. Due to the minimal capacity needed, the cost of the other activities is relatively inexpensive, and the cost needed would be for products, resources, and indirect services such as media promotions.

Action 2 is straightforward and another low-cost action that can be implemented. The total cost for this action is USD 45,000. Similar to Action 1, most of the capacity needed to fulfil this action is

readily available from the stakeholders, therefore the costing would be for products and services to execute this action.

Table 24 show the human resources that would be needed for the completion of the activities.

| ACTION   | CONSULTANTS/ LEAD<br>MEMBERS  | # OF    | # DAYS   | DAILY RATE | Cost (USD) |
|----------|---|---------|----------|------------|------------|
|          |   | PERSONS |          | (USD)      |            |
| Action 1 | Procurement of LEDs   | 1       | 60       | \$100      | \$6,000    |
|          | Develop of business model for payback                                   | 4       | 60       | \$100      | \$24,000   |
|          | Launch, advertise and promote LED Distribution Drive                    | 2       | 120      | \$50       | \$12,000   |
|          | Distribution of LEDs  | 10      | 180      | \$50       | \$90,000   |
|          | Monitoring and Updating of<br>Payback system                            | 3       | 60       | \$50       | \$9,000    |
|          |   |         | 1        | Total      | \$141,000  |
| Action 2 | Gather data on LED energy<br>and cost savings in Antigua<br>and Barbuda | 5       | 40       | \$50       | \$ 10,000  |
|          | Create action plans for<br>Public Awareness                             | 2       | 40       | \$50       | \$4,000    |
|          | Schedule and Execute<br>Events and Workshops                            | 2       | 120      | \$50       | \$12,000   |
|          | Create advertising material for public sharing                          | 2       | 20       | \$50       | \$2,000    |
|          | Evaluate Campaign   | 2       | 40       | \$50       | \$4,000    |
|          | I   |         | <u> </u> | Total      | \$32,000   |

#### Table 25: Summary of Additional Cost

|          | LIST ITEMS          | UNIT COST<br>(USD) | QUANTITY | TOTAL (USD) | Total +<br>Contingency<br>(15%) (USD) |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Action 1 | Procurement of LEDs | \$4                | 20,000   | \$80,000    | \$92,000                              |
|          | Advertisement       | \$3,000            | 3        | \$9,000     | \$10,350                              |
| Action 2 | Advertisement       | \$2000             | 2        | \$4,000     | \$4,600                               |
| Action 2 | Workshops           | \$3,500            | 2        | \$7.000     | \$8,050                               |

#### 2.2.7 MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Table 26 provides a breakdown of the risk and contingency plans for the implementation of the TAP for LED technology.

Table 26: Risk and Contingency Analysis for LEDs

| TYPE OF RISK        | DESCRIPTION OF RISK  | CONTINGENCY ACTIONS   |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Performance<br>Risk | The technology does not perform as expected.   | Ensure bidders during the procurement phase<br>produced performance reviews and ratings of the<br>technology being supplied. Also, ensure there is a<br>performance warranty from the supplier. Compare<br>data collected to performance data |
| Financial Risk      | Lack of financing for the bulk procurement of the technology.                        | Apply to international donors for external funding for the project  |
| Behavioural<br>Risk | Persons are not willing to<br>change from the technology that<br>they currently use. | Data Collection regarding public's reluctance to<br>adopt the technology. Based on the analysis of this<br>data implement strategies that would increase the<br>adoption by these citizens.   |
|                     |  | This data can also be used to determine the design and implementation of policy tools   |
| Scheduling<br>Risk  | Delays in procurement delivery<br>and distribution of the LEDs to<br>the public.     | Enforce a strict delivery timeframe for the supplier.<br>Keep abreast with the delivery to the public and<br>assign more personnel to assist with the deliveries<br>if delays incur.  |

#### 2.2.8 NEXT STEPS

Table 27 show the immediate requirements and next steps in order to initiate the activities for the implementation of the TAP for LED technology.

| Table 27: Immediate Re | quirements and Critical | Stens for LEDs |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
|                        | quilements and chuca    |                |

| Immediate<br>requirements | Access funding for the procurement phase to bulk purchase the technology  |
|---------------------------|---|
| Critical steps            | <ul> <li>Drafting of the financial payback scheme and contract for public agreement</li> <li>Distribution plan for the technology</li> <li>Monitoring strategies for the distribution and payback systems</li> <li>Ensuring the technology being procured is of acceptable manufacturing standards.</li> <li>Monitoring and updating of the payback financial system to ensure is up to date</li> <li>Evaluation of the campaign to determine the outreach achieved.</li> </ul> |

#### 2.2.9 TAP OVERVIEW

#### Table 28: Overview of TAP for LEDs

| SECTOR                                 | BUILDING SECTOR   |                       |   |                           |  |  |   |                                 |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Sub-Sector                             |   |                       |   |                           |  |  |   |                                 |  |
| Technology                             | LED   |                       |   |                           |  |  |   |                                 |  |
| Ambition                               | Replacing 20,000 incandescent light bulbs and 10,000 CFL over a 2-year period in 5,000 homes  |                       |   |                           |  |  |   |                                 |  |
| Benefits                               | With access to the technology, homeowners will gain an appreciation for energy-efficient technology and its ability to reduce their energy consumption along with benefitting from the financial saving that would incur as a result. The replacement of the 20,000 incandescent bulbs is expected to reduce 808 tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions annually whilst the replacement of the 10,000 CFL would save 39.4 tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> annually |                       |   |                           |  |  |   |                                 |  |
| Action #                               | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED   | SOURCES OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY<br>AND FOCAL POINT   | Time<br>Frame<br>(months) | RISKS  | Success<br>Criteria  | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY<br>(USD) |  |
| Action 1: LED<br>Distribution<br>Drive | Activity 1.1:<br>Procurement of LEDs  | GCF, GEF              | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA/<br>Department of<br>Environment/<br>Bureau of<br>Standards | 6                         | Delay in<br>Procurement<br>timeframe,<br>damage<br>during<br>transport,<br>theft, (where<br>will they be<br>stored?) | Bulk arrival of<br>the LED<br>technologies<br>Safe storage | Procurement<br>documents,<br>customs<br>clearance,<br>delivery receipt<br>and storage<br>confirmation | \$200,000                       |  |
|  | Activity 1.2: Develop<br>payback business<br>model for the<br>customers   | GoAB                  | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA/<br>Attorney General<br>Chambers                            | 6                         | Delay in<br>finalizing<br>mechanism  | Financial<br>mechanisms<br>signed off and<br>agreed upon   | Signed and<br>approved<br>financial<br>document to be<br>shared with the<br>public                    | \$10,000                        |  |

|  | Activity 1.3: Launch,<br>advertise and<br>promote LED<br>Distribution<br>programme | GCF, GEF              | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA/ Department<br>of Environment/<br>Bureau of<br>Standards | 6             | Lack of<br>interest from<br>the public and<br>other<br>stakeholders              | High public<br>interest and<br>other<br>stakeholders<br>Awareness<br>strategy<br>developed                      | Development of<br>communication<br>plan.<br>Launching of the<br>campaign                        | \$10,000               |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|---------------|--|---|---|------------------------|
|  | Activity 1.4:<br>Distribute LEDs   | GCF, GEF              | Ministry of Energy,<br>APUA, Department<br>of Environment                            | 12            | Delays in<br>distribution<br>timeframe<br>Failure to<br>deliver<br>working bulbs | Efficient<br>distribution of<br>LED to public   | Number of LEDs<br>distributed<br>monthly  | \$20,000               |
|  | Activity 1.5:<br>Monitoring and<br>Updating of Payback<br>system                   | GoAB                  | Ministry of Energy,<br>APUA  | 6             | Delay in<br>updating<br>system<br>Malfunctioning<br>bulbs                        | System<br>updated on-<br>time monthly   | Monthly report on payback system  | \$5,000                |
|  |  |                       |  |               |  |   | Total   | \$245,000              |
| Action #   | Activities to be<br>implemented  | SOURCES OF<br>FUNDING | RESPONSIBLE BODY<br>AND FOCAL POINT  | TIME<br>FRAME | Risks  | SUCCESS<br>CRITERIA   | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION   | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
| Action 2:<br>Public<br>Awareness of<br>the Energy and<br>Cost Saving of<br>LED | Activity 2.1: Gather<br>Data on LED energy<br>consumption and<br>cost savings      | GoAB                  | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA/Department<br>of Environment                             | 6 months      | Insufficient<br>and inaccurate<br>data collected                                 | Sufficient data<br>collected to<br>share with the<br>public<br>regarding the<br>efficiency of<br>the technology | Average energy<br>saved per<br>household per<br>month due to<br>conversion to LED<br>technology | \$20,000               |

|       | Activity 2.2: Create<br>Action plans for the<br>Public Awareness<br>Campaign                                 | GoAB | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA/<br>Department of<br>Environment | 6 months     | Action plans<br>not aligned<br>with Campaign<br>goals                          | Action plan in<br>line with goals<br>of the<br>campaigns                               | Accomplishment<br>of each action<br>plan       | \$5,000  |
|-------|--|------|--|--------------|--|--|--|----------|
|       | Activity 2.3: Schedule<br>and Execute events<br>and workshops  | GoAB | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA/<br>Department of<br>Environment | 12<br>months | Poor<br>stakeholder<br>response to<br>the events,<br>programs and<br>workshops | High<br>stakeholder<br>participation<br>and interest                                   | Number of<br>stakeholders<br>attending events  | \$10,000 |
|       | Activity 2.4: Create<br>and distribute<br>advertising and<br>informational<br>material for public<br>sharing | GoAB | Ministry of Energy/<br>APUA                                  | 3 months     | Insufficient<br>data was<br>provided to the<br>citizens                        | Ads created<br>Public gains a<br>better<br>understanding<br>of the<br>technology.      | Increase diffusion<br>of the technology.       | \$5,000  |
|       | Activity 2.5: Evaluate<br>Public Awareness<br>campaign   | GoAB | Ministry of Energy,<br>APUA, Department<br>of Environment    | 3 months     | Inadequate<br>feedback data<br>for accurate<br>analysis                        | Feedback and<br>understanding<br>from the public<br>regarding<br>information<br>shared | Post-workshop<br>reports and<br>events surveys | \$5,000  |
| Total |  |      |  |              |  |  | \$45,000                                       |          |
# 2.3 ACTION PLAN FOR ROOF PITCH ANGLE

## 2.3.1 INTRODUCTION

Antigua and Barbuda has historically been impacted by hurricanes. Due to climate change, the frequency of high-intensity storms has increased<sup>34</sup>. This includes category 5 hurricanes with wind speed of 252 km/h and above. Damages amounted to US\$ 136 million with US\$ 52.2 million in infrastructure alone, due to 2017 Hurricane Irma. This event caused 45 % of houses in Barbuda to experience roof damage. The roof pitch angle is traditionally 25 degrees in the Caribbean. The pitch of the roof is indirectly proportional to the resistance against wind forces. The steeper the pitch, the less atmospheric pressure changes forces on it. The increased pitch roofs resist uplift, with 30 ° or 7/12 pitches being the best performers in storms<sup>35</sup>. In addition, when planning for storms, Gibbs (2000) advises steep pitches of 30° and 40°<sup>36</sup>. There is evidence that the roof-pitch angle can have a great influence on the resilience of roofs.

# 2.3.2 AMBITION FOR ROOF PITCH ANGLE

The 30° and 40° roof pitch angle will be implemented as a pilot project for small scale residents. Public awareness campaigns will be carried out to 20% of new buildings incorporate technology by 2030.

# 2.3.2 ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR ROOF PITCH ANGLE

The general barriers and measures to overcome these barriers to diffuse the 30° and 40° roof pitch angles were considered in the 'Barrier Analysis and Enabling Framework Report. The economic and financial barrier identified revolved around the current need to reduce the price of current pitch angle infrastructure and materials and spread more awareness to the roofing technique.

Table 29 gives an overview of the barriers and potential measures to overcome the deployment and diffusion of roof pitch angles. Based on the desk study carried out and stakeholder engagement for the BAEF, the expense of the steep roof pitch building materials, lack of information, awareness and benefits of roof pitch angles, in addition to lack of expertise and experience in roof framing are the overall barriers associated with this technology. A steeper roof

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> https://www.climate.gov/news-features/understanding-climate/climate-change-probably-increasing-intensity-tropical-cyclones
 <sup>35</sup> Taher, R. (2007). Design of Low-Rise Buildings for Extreme Wind Events. *Journal of Architectural Engineering*. 13: 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Gibbs, T (2000) Detaining for hurricanes

angle requires a higher cost associated with safety as more materials and measures as it requires harnesses and more scaffolding.

| CATEGORIES IDENTIFIED BARRIERS |   | MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Economic and<br>financial      | Steep roofs are more expensive than<br>regular roofs due to the larger surface<br>area, which requires additional<br>construction materials | The cost for constructing roofs can be<br>offset by reducing insurance premiums<br>for homes     |  |
| Information and awareness      | Lack of awareness and benefits of steeper roof pitch angles   | Informative seminars and public media campaigns  |  |
| Technical                      | The lack of expertise and experience in this roof framing   | Training for younger contractors can be<br>administered as a component of the<br>TVET programme. |  |

Table 30 provides an assessment of the measures and barriers considered for the inclusion of the TAP. These measures are based on the Barrier Analysis Enabling Framework (BAEF) Report of Antigua and Barbuda. According to the TAP Guidelines, the variables utilized to evaluate each measure include cost-effectiveness, efficiency, interactions with other measures, sustainability and benefits and cost. The final selected measures were selected along with the actions and activities under each measure were described as seen in Table 31- 33.

#### Table 30: Assessment of Measures for Roof Pitch Angles

| MEASURES TO<br>OVERCOME BARRIERS                      | Assessment   | RANKING |  |
|---|--|---------|--|
| Public Awareness<br>raising Campaigns                 | This measure will be in support of education initiatives to<br>raise awareness to construction and builders of the<br>importance of roof pitch angle techniques. This usually<br>includes promoting and broadcasting the campaign<br>materials through radio, newspaper, and television<br>advertisements. Informing and educating the public will<br>facilitate the buy-in and diffusion of this technology into<br>the market. This measure will be related to the training of<br>the public and campaigning will shed a light on various<br>roof pitch angles and benefits to small island developing<br>states (SIDs). | High    |  |
| Training programme<br>and seminars for<br>contractors | This is an important measure to bring across a clear<br>understanding of the construction techniques utilised for<br>hurricane resistance and climate change events. Training<br>programmes and seminars would increase the knowledge<br>of builders and eventually this roofing technique will<br>become more prominent.  | High    |  |

Table 31: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Roof Pitch Angles

| CATEGORIES                | IDENTIFIED MEASURES TO OVERCOME<br>BARRIERS   | MEASURES SELECTED AS ACTIONS<br>FOR INCLUSION IN TAP |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Information and awareness | Informative seminars and public media campaigns   | Public awareness campaign                            |
| Technical                 | Training for younger contractors can<br>be administered as a component of<br>the TVET programme | Training Programmes                                  |

#### Table 32: Description of the Selected Actions for Project Ideas for Roof Pitch Angles

| Action 1: | Public Awareness campaign: Informative seminars and public media campaigns can b<br>used to inform the public on the advantage of this design style. This public media<br>campaign can include radio, newspaper and television advertisements and interviews<br>Targeted seminars for industry professionals can facilitate buy-in and diffusion of thi<br>framing style in the market. For these seminars to reach the right audience, they can<br>reach out to construction companies with ongoing building projects. |  |
|-----------|---|--|
| Action 2: | Training Programmes: Training for younger contractors can be administered as a component of the TVET programme, which will include an assortment of trade and technical training opportunities. However, this training programme will need to be integrated into a larger project for certifying construction workers and tradespersons. A special workshop will be administered to large contractors who can bring on smaller-scale contractors.   |  |

#### Table 33: Activities for Actions for Roof Pitch Angles

| Action 1: Public Awareness campaigns |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Activity 1.1                         | Campaign design and planning   |  |
| Activity 1.2                         | Community seminars   |  |
| Activity 1.3                         | Media engagements  |  |
| ACTION 2: TRA                        | INING PROGRAMMES   |  |
| Activity 2.1                         | Redevelop vocational construction curriculum at tertiary institutions to include roof pitch angles designs |  |
| Activity 2.2                         | Implementation of programme  |  |
| Activity 2.3                         | Hosting workshops  |  |

# 2.3.3 STAKEHOLDER AND TIMELINES FOR TAP IMPLEMENTATION

The responsibilities of the key stakeholders for the implementation of the roof pitch angle TAP can be seen in Table 34.

#### Table 34: Role of Stakeholders for Roof Pitch Angles

| KEY STAKEHOLDERS   | ROLE OF STAKEHOLDERS   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Department of the Environment (DOE)                            | The department would coordinate and facilitate the project's workshop and training   |  |
| Development Control Authority<br>(DCA)                         | This organisation would assist the DOE with data collection of roofs damaged by hurricanes and local stakeholders' assistance for the workshop.  |  |
| Ministry of Works and Housing (MOWH)                           | This organisation would assist the DOE in sourcing interested stakeholders for workshops and training for roof pitch angles  |  |
| Antigua & Barbuda Institute of<br>Continuing Education (ABICE) | This is a Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) institution that will be a central part of developing the curriculum and training programme for roof pitch angles construction. |  |

### 2.3.4 SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES

Table 40 has a more comprehensive timetable for the activities. This TAP is planned for implementation over the period 2023- 2025. However, for the two actions envisioned under this TAP for rooftop pitch angle the sequencing would be approximated as follows:

### Action 1: Public awareness campaign

This action would take place over two years. The advertisement phase would be over 12 months, along with gathering the materials and media for advertisement. The second year would entail the scheduling, implementation, and hosting of community seminars for the public.

# Action 2: Training programme

These activities would take place over three years. The first year the relevant stakeholders and institutions along with DOE would develop a syllabus for the roof pitch angles techniques. This process would take an estimated 2 years to complete for research, materials, and expertise for activities. The implementation of the training program and hosting of the workshops and programs would be carried out in the third year.

# 2.3.5 ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES

# 2.3.5.1 Capacity Building Needs

For action 1 from Table 33 above highlights the need for media engagement and campaigns for the public. This can be done through social media, print media, radio and television advertisement in partnership with relevant institutions relating to construction. These campaigns and engagement will need to continue throughout the timeframe envisioned for the TAP.

As for Action 2, there is a need to build capacity for training programmes and curriculum for schools. This can be done through the hiring of consultants and the creation of software design simulations for roof pitch angles.

## 2.3.5.2 Cost Estimation

#### Table 35: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed

| LIST          | UNIT COST (USD) | QUANTITY | TOTAL (USD) | TOTAL<br>+Contingency<br>(15%) (USD) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Host event    | 5,000           | 4        | \$20,000    | \$23,000                             |
| Workshop      | 3,000           | 1        | \$3,000     | \$3,450                              |
| Advertisement | 6,000           | 1        | \$6,000     | \$6,900                              |

#### Table 36: Breakdown of Additional Cost

| ACTION   | CONSULTANTS/ LEAD MEMBERS   | # OF<br>PERSONS | # Days | Daily<br>Rate<br>(USD) | Cost (USD) |
|----------|---|-----------------|--------|------------------------|------------|
| Action 1 | Campaign design and planning  | 4               | 20     | \$200                  | \$16,000   |
|          | Community seminars  | 1               | 5      | \$200                  | \$1,000    |
|          | Media engagements   | 5               | 20     | \$200                  | \$20,000   |
| Action 2 | Redevelop vocational construction curriculum at tertiary institutions | 3               | 30     | \$200                  | \$18,000   |
|          | Implementation of programme   | 2               | 20     | \$200                  | \$8,000    |

#### Table 37: Summary of Cost and Resources for Roof Pitch Angles

| ACTIONS                | ACTIVITIES TO BE SUPPORTED   | TOTAL COSTS (USD) |
|------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Public<br>awareness    | Experts implement all activities and planning for<br>Campaign design                                       | \$16,000          |
| campaign               | Community seminars   | \$4,450           |
|                        | Media engagements  | \$26,900          |
| Training<br>Programmes | Redevelop vocational construction curriculum at tertiary institutions to include roof pitch angles designs | \$41,000          |
|                        | Experts to host and plan workshops   | \$6,900           |
|                        | Implementation of programme by experts   | \$8,000           |
| Total                  |  | \$103,260         |

# 2.3.6 MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Table 38 below shows the risk involved with the activities for roof pitch angle technology and the contingency plan for the risk.

| RISK ITEM           | DESCRIPTION   | CONTINGENCY PLAN  |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Financial risks     | Insufficient funds for materials and advertisement  | The total cost indicated in the table<br>summary above has a range of \$103, 260.<br>This range of funds may mitigate any<br>fluctuations in cost for materials and<br>consultants or team leads.   |
| Scheduling risk     | The training programme and campaign<br>may overrun the scheduled time for<br>implementation due to time delays in<br>carrying out workshops and<br>advertisement and non-performance of<br>consultants. Additionally, the pandemic<br>has to be considered when scheduling,<br>lead to possible delays, as only<br>vaccinated persons are allowed to travel<br>and at public functions. | To mitigate this issue, adequate planning<br>must be implemented especially for<br>workshops and hosting events.<br>Consultants that are hired should be aware<br>of the culture of the island, in other words,<br>including locals to mitigate delays in<br>activities.<br>In terms of the pandemic, classes and<br>workshops can be scheduled online so<br>there can be a greater outreach while<br>abiding to health restrictions. |
| Operational<br>Risk | Poor design of the system leading to poor results.  | Ensure that the roof system is designed and implemented optimally   |

# 2.3.7 NEXT STEPS

Table 39 shows the next steps for the roof pitch angle technology

Table 39: Immediate Requirement and Critical Steps for Roof Pitch Angles

| Immediate<br>requirements | The key implementation steps must be to hire a consultant to collect data on the percentage (%) of roofs in Antigua and Barbuda that can withstand hurricanes.   |
|---------------------------|--|
| Critical steps            | The key to the success of this activity is public awareness campaigns, training programmes and ensuring individuals understand the concept and technicalities of choosing and building the correct roof pitch angle. |

# 2.3.8 TAP OVERVIEW

# Table 40: Overview of TAP for Roof Pitch Angles

| SECTOR                          | BUILDING SECTOR                          |  |  |                           |  |  |   |                        |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|
| Sub-sector                      |  |  |  |                           |  |  |   |                        |
| Technology                      | 30-40 degree [minimum] Roof pitch angles |  |  |                           |  |  |   |                        |
| Ambition                        | -  | The best roof pitch angle will be implemented as a pilot project in small scale residents. Public awareness campaigns will be carried out for 20% of new buildings incorporating the technology by 2030          |  |                           |  |  |   |                        |
| Benefits                        | -  | Increasing climate adaptation technologies would lower the probability of damages as a result of high intensity storms such as Cat 5 hurricanes<br>-Roof pitch angles reduce the economic losses from hurricane. |  |                           |  |  |   |                        |
| Action                          | ACTIVITIES TO BE<br>IMPLEMENTED          | Sources of<br>Funding  | RESPONSIBLE<br>BODY AND FOCAL<br>POINT | TIME<br>FRAME<br>(MONTHS) | Risks  | Success<br>Criteria  | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY |
| Public<br>awareness<br>campaign | Campaign design<br>and planning          | GEF  | DOE, DCA,<br>NODS                      | ЭСА, З6                   | High cost of<br>consultancy/<br>available<br>expertise,<br>insufficient<br>funding | # Of persons<br>attending,<br>Quality<br>programs and<br>campaigns | Positive feedback<br>from stakeholders            | \$16,000               |
|                                 | Community<br>seminars                    |  |  |                           | Available<br>expertise,<br>insufficient<br>funds, poor<br>timing for<br>residents  | Positive<br>feedback from<br>stakeholders                          | Document report &<br>feedback from<br>public      | \$4,450                |
|                                 | Media Engagements                        |  |  |                           | Availability of funding for media coverage,  | # Of<br>stakeholders   | Surveys of public feedback                        | \$26,000               |

| cur<br>inst<br>incl<br>ang<br>Training<br>programmes Imp | Implementation of programme   | GEF | DOE, CHAPA,<br>ABICE | 40 | Timing & getting<br>participants,<br>programme can<br>fit into training<br>schedule/format<br>of school | Number of<br>participants,<br>feedback<br>report         | Quality of<br>programme,<br>feedback from the<br>public | \$6,900  |
|--|---|-----|----------------------|----|---|--|---|----------|
|  | •   |     |                      |    | participants,<br>programme can<br>fit into training<br>schedule/format                                  | participants,<br>feedback                                | programme,<br>feedback from the                         | \$6,900  |
|  | •   |     |                      |    | the programme<br>Timing & getting<br>participants,<br>programme can                                     | participants,  | programme,  | \$6,900  |
|  | construction<br>curriculum at tertiary<br>institutions to<br>include roof pitch<br>angles designs |     |                      |    | schools, overload<br>curriculum,<br>Vocational<br>schools agree to                                      | produced, # of<br>trained<br>teachers in<br>institutions | Curriculum<br>material & training<br>of teachers        | \$41,000 |
|  | Redevelop<br>vocational   |     |                      |    | Available<br>expertise,<br>funding, issues<br>relating to   | # Of resources   |   |          |
|  |   |     |                      |    | Covid 19 limiting<br>persons present<br>at a facility   |  |   |          |
|  |   |     |                      |    | develop<br>appropriate<br>campaigns for<br>evolving [social]<br>media landscape                         | workshop   |   |          |

# **SECTION 3: TRANSPORT SECTOR**

# 3.1 TECHNOLOGY ACTION PLAN AND PROJECT IDEAS FOR THE TRANSPORT SECTOR

# 3.1.1 TRANSPORT SECTOR OVER

In 2015, the national greenhouse gas inventory reported that 76% of the country's emissions were generated by fuel consumption in the energy sector due to the burning of heavy fuel oil by the power producers<sup>37</sup>. The West Indies Oil Company (WIOC) is responsible for the importation of all the fossil fuel that is utilized on the islands. A high percentage of the fuel is used in the transportation and energy sector. The Department of Environment and the Ministry responsible for Energy, through a directive from the GoAB, is working on implementing technologies that will reduce the fossil fuel dependence and reduce GHG emissions of the country to uphold its obligations to the Paris Agreement. Table 41 lists other policies that are driving the transition. The electric vehicle and photovoltaic system are technologies that can be deployed in the country to accomplish the directive of the GoAB as they will reduce the oil importation and GHG emission if used in tandem.

| NAME  | YEAR<br>ADOPTED | MAIN CONTENTS  |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Environmental<br>Management and<br>Protection Act | 2019            | Established the Environment Registry which will undertake to<br>monitor pollutants and support GHG inventories through continued<br>data collection  |
| Sustainable Energy<br>Action Plan                 | 2013            | Renewable energy developments to reduce fossil fuel dependence,<br>high energy costs, and energy import bills  |
| Environmental Levy<br>Act 2002                    | 2003            | Outlines the tariffs for vehicles imported into the country  |
| Renewable Act                                     | 2015            | A legislation drafted to promote the use of renewable energy<br>resources and technologies such as solar PV, wind, biomass,<br>hydropower, geothermal, and wave/tidal. The act established the<br>responsibilities to be carried out by the Minister Responsible for<br>Energy. It also established APUA's net billing policy and energy<br>wheeling policies. |

#### Table 41: Policy and Laws for Driving to a Cleaner Sustainable Sector

The Department of Environment is currently embarking on the Sustainable Low Emission Island Mobility (SLIM) project which was launched in April 2021. This project will run until 2024 and is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Antigua and Barbuda's national greenhouse gas reduction report / Climate Analytics

focused on the increased adoption of electric vehicles and charging station infrastructure in Antigua and Barbuda. The TAPs for the transport sector that are recommended in this document would be in tandem with this project.

# 3.2 ACTION PLAN FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS

# 3.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The TAPs for Electric Vehicles (EVs) and Solar Charging Stations are presented together due to the mutually inclusive relationship that exists between the technologies. Solar charging stations are needed to experience the zero-emission feature/characteristics of electric vehicles; therefore, it is a measure to overcome one of the barriers to the widespread diffusion of EVs.

Electric vehicles were included in the TNA as a mitigation technology due to their potential to reduce GHG emissions in the transportation sector and reduce the country's dependence on oil importation. The EV market in Antigua and Barbuda is small, with approximately 40 EVs reported as a part of the vehicle fleet (54,891 ICE vehicles) as of 2021<sup>38</sup>. Six of the 40 EVs (2 sedans and 2-panel van, 2 SUV) are located at the Department of Environment in Antigua along with 3 charging stations.

The adoption of Electric vehicles is also in line with Antigua and Barbuda's Nationally Determined Contribution, which describes a transition away from new internal combustion vehicles to electric vehicles by 2030. To lead by example the Government intends to transition its 1,100 vehicles to electric by 2035.

The TNA committee highlighted that access to inexpensive ICE vehicles from international markets is the greatest barrier to the uptake of the more expensive electric vehicles. Furthermore, the committee acknowledged that the environmental benefits of EVs needed a supportive solar charging infrastructure to be realized. This resulted in the two technologies being merged for the TAP.

# 3.2.2 AMBITION FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS

The ambitions would be to increase the capacity of the country to maintain and operate the electric vehicle by upgrading the educational institutions to deliver the necessary courses and embark on various public awareness campaigns to accelerate the uptake of the technology. Additionally, "train, the trainer" programs for electric vehicles and solar systems to transform the workforce and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\tiny 38}}$  Antigua and Barbuda Renewable Energy Roadmap, IRENA 2021.

social development in the country. Therefore the re-training of at least 10 existing mechanics and teachers to repair and service EVs. Finally, increasing the number of public solar charging stations to meet the EV demand. A pilot study of 50kW of solar panels to serve 10 level-2 chargers for 20EVs of the government fleet. This project could reduce GHG emissions by 56.15 tCO<sub>2</sub>e using an electricity conversion factor of 0.6154 tCO2e/MWh<sup>39</sup>.

# 3.2.2 ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS

Table 42 shows the barriers to overcome and the measures to over the barriers for EVs and solar charging station technology.

| CATEGORIES                   | IDENTIFIED BARRIERS  | MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Electric Vehicle             |  |  |
| Economic and financial       | High capital cost  | Supply access to public charging infrastructure and expand access to finance   |
| Market<br>conditions         | Only one EV car dealer on the island with limited car options  | Car dealerships increasing their availability of electric vehicles.  |
| Legal and regulatory         | Access to unregulated importation of used ICE vehicles   | Phased ban of the importation of ICE vehicles in the future as described in the NDCs   |
| Human skills                 | Educational institutions are not<br>equipped to train persons to<br>repair and maintain technology               | Establish a national education programme with<br>Tertiary Institutions to train Electric vehicle<br>mechanics  |
|                              |  | Provide technical resources,   |
| Information<br>and awareness | Limited information about costs<br>and benefits of technology.<br>Information on technology is not<br>widespread | Conduct adequate public awareness campaigns<br>through print, electronic media and test-driving<br>car shows to dispel fears   |
| Solar Charging s             | tations  |  |
| Economic and<br>Financial    | High capital cost.<br>Earning potential is low for the   | Bulk purchase of the systems to reduce the initial cost of constituent components  |
|                              | high upfront cost for the system   | Design the systems to be multi-purposed to not<br>only serve for charging vehicles but to supply<br>electricity to loads in buildings to increase the<br>utilization of the system |
| Human skills                 | Limited local experts for<br>installation, operation and<br>maintenance  | Develop a national education programme at<br>Tertiary Institutions   |

#### Table 42: Summary of Barriers and Measures to Overcome Barriers for EVs and Solar Charging Stations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Antigua Grid, CM 2017 - 2019

| CATEGO            | RIES      | IDENTIFIED BARRIERS                 | MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS  |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Legal<br>regulato | and<br>ry | Prohibitive net billing legislation | Evaluate and update policy to allow for off-grid systems and size limitations. Also, regulate price paid at charging stations. |

Table 43 summarizes the barriers to the diffusion of EVs and solar charging stations and potential measures to address each barrier. From consultation with several stakeholders, access to an unregulated ICE vehicle market is the critical barrier to the uptake of EVs. For instance, used ICE vehicles less than 5 years old cost approximately USD 15,000, while vehicles over 10 years are less than USD 3,000. An equivalent used EV costs USD 25,000, while new EVs available locally cost upwards of USD 40,000. A similar challenge is observed for solar charging stations, where a level two charging station (without infrastructure or battery storage) costs 35% - 45% of a new EV. Furthermore, the revenue returns on large systems are impacted by the present net billing tariff system which specifies that electricity generated from solar systems over 5kW must be sold to APUA and then purchased back at 0.37 USD/kWh.

The size of the present electric vehicle workforce is not sufficient to meet the demands of a fully transitioned vehicle fleet with a large public solar charging infrastructure, requiring the strengthening of the country's institutional capacity to meet this new demand. It is imperative to develop the capacity to charge the EVs by renewable energy systems to achieve the zero-emission benefits of EVs and reduce the GHG emission in the transport sector. Lastly, there was a consensus amongst participants in the workshop that public awareness was low regarding EVs and their benefits. Table 43 outlines further analysis of selected measures.

| MEASURES TO OVERCOME<br>BARRIERS  | Assessment  | RANKING |
|---|---|---------|
| Ban the importation of ICE in the future  | This is a very effective measure because the cost of<br>importing used ICE vehicles is too low for EVs to be<br>competitive. The high capital cost of EVs will outweigh its<br>benefits. Regulating the used car market, however, must be<br>done strategically as it will result in a cultural shift in the type<br>of vehicles purchased and who can afford vehicles.<br>Moreover, it can negatively affect the quantity of tax revenue<br>collected from vehicle importation. Therefore, this tax<br>structure would have to be reviewed. This measure is in line<br>with the new NDC targets for the country and will provide an<br>indirect benefit to the increased uptake of the technology.<br>Potential to improve ambient air quality. Might reduce<br>private vehicle ownership. | High    |
| Establish a national<br>education programme with<br>University/TVET schools to<br>train Electric vehicle<br>mechanics and Solar<br>Installers | This is an important measure to ensure the sustainability of<br>the technology deployment in the country. The technology<br>cannot be successful without having the necessary<br>workforce to repair and maintain the technology. Building<br>local capacity by equipping training institutions with the<br>necessary tools to transition the present and future<br>workforce is the most efficient solution. A subset of the   | High    |

 Table 43: Assessment of Measures for EVs and Solar Charging Stations

| MEASURES TO OVERCOME<br>BARRIERS   | Assessment  | RANKING |
|--|---|---------|
|  | workforce can be involved in a "train the trainer" program to<br>build local capacity for the technology. One benefit of this<br>measure is that it would give consumers peace of mind that<br>several qualified persons are available to repair their vehicle<br>or the public charging station infrastructure. Another benefit<br>is that it would create a competitive market, giving people<br>options other than the dealership for repairs.   |         |
| Provide access to public<br>charging infrastructure and<br>expand access to finance  | Not everyone will be able to purchase an electric vehicle and<br>charging stations for their home; therefore, providing a<br>reliable public charging infrastructure will reduce the capital<br>cost of the system making it more affordable to consumers.<br>Moreover, increasing access to financing of EV vehicles<br>would assist in the transition. This will require several<br>stakeholders to participate in the installation and<br>maintenance of the system. This will be a moderately costly<br>endeavour; however, it will be able to generate revenue to<br>pay for itself over time. There are corelations with the<br>measure above for increasing the country's educational<br>capacity on the technology. Benefits to technology<br>implementation are mostly indirect. It is expected that<br>consumers will transition faster if public charging stations<br>are available, but we cannot guarantee when they will;<br>Likewise with the financiers when they will provide<br>increased access to financial instruments. Public charging<br>stations could alleviate public range fear while commuting. | Medium  |
| Conduct adequate public<br>awareness campaigns<br>through print, electronic<br>media and test-driving car<br>shows to dispel fears | Increasing knowledge about electric vehicles would greatly<br>aid individuals in their assessment of whether to buy an EV<br>and mitigate doubt about the technology. This is a low-cost<br>measure that needs only a few personnel to coordinate the<br>awareness campaign. Providing a first-hand experience with<br>the electric vehicle is expected to directly impact individuals'<br>willingness to purchase vehicles coupled with the requisite<br>information on the technology   | Medium  |
| Opening the market to<br>encourage increased EV<br>importation   | There is only one EV car dealership presently on the island.<br>Increasing the number of local dealerships can create a<br>competitive market and increase the variety of vehicle<br>choices and access to vehicle parts. These are solutions that<br>should only take a few consultations with dealerships to<br>include them in the transition. The banning of ICE vehicle<br>importation directly interacts with this measure. The benefit<br>from this measure is direct, as it will increase access to the<br>technology and can capitalize on the relationship that<br>several people already have with local car dealerships<br>increasing their confidence in the technology  | Low     |

The measures that represented the mutually inclusive nature of the two technologies were chosen to be moved forward as actions, using the EV as the foundation for merging the measures for the two technologies, see Table 44. It is believed that the implementation of legislation to restrict the importation of ICE vehicles would encourage more persons to consider EVs, provided that a supportive public awareness campaign is done simultaneously. Additionally, an EV test driving campaign would be an effective method to persuade current ICE vehicle owners to transition. During this time, the introduction of local training facilities to create the necessary workforce to establish a functional EV market is required. The demand for solar charging stations would now be established and the technology will be able to flourish. Lastly, the transition of the government fleet would stimulate the market and galvanize the private sector in participating in the transformation of the transportation sector to low emission vehicles. The action and associated activities for the technologies are summarized in Tables 45 – 46.

One of the project ideas selected for the TAP will demonstrate the environmental benefits and costsaving potential of an EV vehicle powered by solar systems to contribute to the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. It is expected to provide opportunities to increase the experience of the first-generation EV workforce and allow for research to be done on performance to develop a sustainable framework for the local context.

| Table 44: Measures to be taken forward as Actions to Implement EVs a | and Solar Charging Stations |
|--|-----------------------------|
|--|-----------------------------|

| CATEGORIES                   | IDENTIFIED MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS  | MEASURES SELECTED AS ACTIONS<br>FOR INCLUSION IN TAP                                      |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Economic and financial       | Provide access to public charging infrastructure and expand access to finance   | Develop a demonstration project<br>for solar charging EVs using the<br>Government's Fleet |
| Legal and regulatory         | Ban the importation of ICE in the future. May also consider increasing tariffs on ICE.                                    | Amending External Trades Act to regulate importation of ICE vehicle                       |
| Human skills                 | Establish a national education programme with tertiary institutions to train electric vehicle mechanics and solar systems | Develop a training module for EVs and solar system  |
| Information<br>and awareness | Conduct adequate public awareness campaigns through print, electronic media and test-driving car shows to dispel fears    | EVs test driving campaign   |

Table 45: Actions for Implementing EVs and Solar Charging Stations

| SUMMARY OF ACTIONS   |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Action 1 Develop a demonstration project for solar charging EVs using the Government's Fleet |   |  |
| Action 2   | Develop a training module for EVs and solar systems                   |  |
| Action 3   | EVs test driving campaign   |  |
| Action 4   | Amend External Trades Act to regulate the importation of ICE vehicles |  |

#### Table 46: Activities for implementation of EVs and solar charging stations

ACTION 1: DEVELOP A DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOR SOLAR CHARGING EVS USING THE GOVERNMENT'S FLEET

| Activity 1.1     | Develop a demonstration project for solar charging EVs using the Government's Fleet   |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| Activity 1.2     | Develop a training module for EVs and solar system  |  |
| Activity 1.3     | EVs test driving campaign   |  |
| Activity 1.4     | Amend External Trades Act to regulate importation of ICE vehicle  |  |
| ACTION 2: DEVEL  | OP A TRAINING MODULE FOR EVS AND SOLAR CHARGING STATIONS  |  |
| Activity 2.1     | Consult key stakeholders on the needs for training  |  |
| Activity 2.2     | Design program and identify a list of supporting infrastructure needs such as equipment, lab space upgrades and teaching material |  |
| Activity 2.3     | Procure material and equipment  |  |
| Activity 2.4     | A pilot program with scholarships   |  |
| Activity 2.5     | Course evaluation and revision  |  |
| ACTION 3: EVS TE | ST DRIVING CAMPAIGN   |  |
| Activity 3.1     | Engage stakeholders in the development of a project plan  |  |
| Activity 3.2     | Create advertising material for the driving campaigns   |  |
| Activity 3.3     | Host test driving campaigns   |  |
| Activity 3.4     | Conduct surveys pre and post the campaign for persons that participated   |  |
| ACTION 4: PASS I | EGISLATION TO REGULATE IMPORTATION OF ICE VEHICLE   |  |
| Activity 4.1     | Review of tax structure   |  |
| Activity 4.2     | Draft and adopt legislation for the banning of ICE vehicles.  |  |
| Activity 4.3     | Other identified policy and enabling environment activities to disseminate the information  |  |

# 3.2.4 STAKEHOLDER AND TIMELINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Table 47 details the roles and function of the different stakeholders needed to accomplish the goals of the TAP.

Table 47: Role of Stakeholders for EVs and Solar Charging Stations

| Key Stakeholder  | ROLE OF STAKEHOLDER           The DoE will be coordinating all actions for this TAP. It will provide project management, procurement and technical support.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Department of Environment<br>(DoE)                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua Public Utility<br>Authority (APUA)                           | APUA is the local utility company that is responsible for internet<br>services and connection to the electricity grid. It will be included in the<br>development and maintenance of the solar charging infrastructure. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda<br>Bureau of Standards                           | Responsible for creating the standards for the importation of electric vehicles. It would play a role in the amending of the External Trades Act.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda<br>Institute for Continuing<br>Education (ABICE) | ABICE is a Technical and Vocational Education and Training) (TVET) institution that will be integral in the capacity-building aspect of the TAP.   |  |  |  |  |  |

| These companies will play an integral role in the installation of solar charging stations.   |
|--|
| The increased use of solar charging stations will affect this market,<br>therefore including these stakeholders is essential in the just transition<br>of the workforce.   |
| The development of a local EV market will need the involvement of car dealerships to procure, stock vehicles and spare parts and provide servicing. Mechanics will also participate in servicing and repairing vehicles. |
| Responsible for the fiscal policies in Antigua and Barbuda   |
| MoE work with the DoE in overseeing the execution of the TAP and lead<br>the development of the necessary legislation to increase the uptake of<br>electric vehicles.  |
| This company is responsible for all the oil imported on the island. Their inclusion is essential as the government transitions the vehicle fleet from fossil fuel-driven to electricity generated from solar panels      |
| Assist in the development and execution of the capacity building activities of the TAP   |
| They are responsible for the import and export of goods in Antigua and<br>Barbuda and would be instrumental in the evaluation of the policies to<br>ban the high emission vehicles                                       |
| They will be valuable in the drafting of the policy for banning internal combustion vehicles   |
|  |

# 3.2.5 SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES

A more detailed timetable for the activities can be found in the TAP overview table (Table 52). This TAP is planned for implementation over the period 2022-2030. However, for the four actions listed under this TAP, a summary is provided below:

Action 1 Increase the number of public EV charging stations through collaborations with various stakeholders such as gas stations, supermarkets and the utility company. Explore the use of solar-powered stations and develop a strategic roll-out plan. The estimated timeframe for completing this task is 3 years.

Action 2 Through engagement with the training schools and international accredited institutions to develop the curriculum. Provide scholarships to train present and future mechanics. A total of 3-5 years for developing the curriculum and revising it to achieve accreditation.

**Action 3** Through collaborations with EV car dealerships locally and international, targeted advertisement to stimulate interest over 1-2 years.

Action 4 through an informed decision based on feasibility and economic impact study on the implementation of a ban, is expected to take 4-5 years to be completed.

# 3.2.6 ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTION AND ACTIVITIES

The completion of the TAP for EVs and solar charging stations requires the purchasing of different assets and coordination of various consultants/stakeholders to deploy these assets to realize the objectives of the TAP. Table 48 and 49 summarizes the cost for these activities.

|          | CONSULTANTS                                     | # PERSONS | # DAYS | DAILY (USD) | Cost (USD) |
|----------|---|-----------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Action 1 | Feasibility study                               | 3         | 20     | \$250       | \$15,000   |
|          | Project design and development of scope of work | 2         | 10     | \$200       | \$4,000    |
|          | Document project findings                       | 1         | 10     | \$200       | \$2,000    |
| Action 2 | Stakeholder engagement                          | 1         | 10     | \$200       | \$2,000    |
|          | Design training program                         | 2         | 30     | \$250       | \$15,000   |
|          | Course evaluation and revision                  | 2         | 5      | \$250       | \$2,500    |
| Action 3 | Stakeholder engagement                          | 1         | 5      | \$200       | \$1,000    |
|          | Content creator                                 | 1         | 15     | \$200       | \$3,000    |
|          | Survey taker                                    | 5         | 10     | \$100       | \$5,000    |
| Action 4 | Stakeholder engagement                          | 2         | 30     | \$200       | \$12,000   |

Table 48: Breakdown of human resources needed for EVs and Solar Charging Stations

#### Table 49: Breakdown of items to be Purchased for EVs and Solar Charging Stations

|          | List items                 | UNIT COST<br>(USD) | QUANTITY | TOTAL (USD) | TOTAL + CONTINGENCY<br>(15%) (USD) |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Action 1 | Solar system               | 23,000             | 10       | \$230,000   | \$264,500                          |
| Action 1 | Electric vehicle           | 50,000             | 20       | \$1,000,000 | \$1,150,000                        |
|          | Equipment & lab<br>upgrade | 500,000            | 1        | \$500,000   | \$575,000                          |
| Action 2 | Scholarships               | 10,000             | 10       | \$100,000   | -                                  |
|          | Workshops                  | 3000               | 2        | \$6,000     | \$6,900                            |
|          | Host event                 | 5,000              | 4        | \$20,000    | \$23,000                           |
| Action 3 | Workshop                   | 3,000              | 1        | \$3,000     | \$3,450                            |
|          | Advertisement              | 6,000              | 1        | \$6,000     | \$6,900                            |
| Action 4 | Advertisement              | 6000               | 1        | \$6,000     | \$6,900                            |

# 3.2.7 MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Table 50 summarizes the two inherent risks associated with the execution of the activities for the TAP. The procurement of items on the island is severely impacted by the time it takes to purchase and transport items to the island. For example, items from China can take upwards of 3 months to arrive via sea. Furthermore, the limited number of direct shipping routes to the island, often requires a freight forwarding company, in the United States to consolidate items before arriving in Antigua and Barbuda, at additional cost.

| RISK ITEM      | DESCRIPTION   | CONTINGENCY ACTION   |
|----------------|---|--|
| Financial Risk | The prices detailed above are<br>estimates, therefore are prone to<br>price fluctuations that could<br>increase the cost of implementing<br>actions               | A contingency markup applied to<br>several of the activities that require<br>the purchase of goods and services  |
| Schedule Risk  | Unforeseen challenges may delay<br>the implementation of several of the<br>activities requiring additional time<br>such as procurement and<br>disbursement delays | Project planning can be used to<br>mitigate the risk of the project<br>requiring additional time to complete<br>the project. Consulting with<br>experienced procurement personnel is<br>essential to ensure adequate lead<br>time is given for the purchase of items<br>especially for those being imported. |

| Table 50: Risk and Contingency Analysis for | EVs and solar charging stations |
|---|---------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------------|

# 3.2.8 NEXT STEPS

To create the environment needed for the electric vehicles to be successfully deployed in Antigua and Barbuda, Table 51, highlights steps that are needed to overcome the critical barriers to EVs which will lead to the development of a public solar charging infrastructure.

| Immediate Requirements: | The key Department responsible for the implementation needs to be<br>adequately staffed to acquire the necessary funding required for the<br>project. Engagement with key stakeholders to inform them of project<br>objectives. |
|-------------------------|---|
| Critical Steps:         | Implementation of the requisite legislation to regulate the importation of internal combustion vehicles is critical to the diffusion of EVs.  |

# 3.2.9 TAP OVERVIEW

Table 52: Overview of TAP for EVs and Solar Charging Stations

| SECTOR  | TRANSPORTATIO  | N   |  |                        |   |  |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sub-sector  |  |   |  |                        |   |  |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Technology  | Electric vehicle   | lectric vehicle and solar charging station  |  |                        |   |  |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Ambition  | convert its vehic  | The GoAB, in the NDCS, indicates its desire to transition the transportation sector to Electric vehicles by 2045. Moreover, the GoAB intends to convert its vehicle fleet by 2035, leading the transition. Increase capacity of the country to maintain and operate the new vehicle by upgrading the educational institutions to deliver the necessary courses and embark on various public awareness campaigns |  |                        |   |  |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits  |  |   |  |                        |   | t TVET schools trained<br>he Governments Flee  | l to deliver the new automo<br>t   | otive curriculum                |  |  |  |  |
| ACTION  | Activities to be<br>IMPLEMENTED  | Sources of<br>Funding   | RESPONSIBLE<br>BODY AND FOCAL<br>POINT | TIME FRAME<br>(MONTHS) | Risks   | SUCCESS CRITERIA                               | INDICATORS FOR<br>MONITORING OF<br>IMPLEMENTATION                              | BUDGET PER<br>ACTIVITY<br>(USD) |  |  |  |  |
| Action 1<br>Develop a<br>demonstration<br>project for solar<br>charging EVs | 1.1 Conduct a<br>feasibility<br>study on large<br>scale EV<br>adoption | GCF   | DoE<br>MoE                             | 6                      | High cost of<br>consultancy   | Large scale EV<br>adoption is<br>favourable    | Report completed on schedule   | \$15,000                        |  |  |  |  |
| using the<br>Government's<br>Fleet  | 1.2 Design the<br>project,<br>develop TORs<br>and Scope of<br>Work     | GCF   | DoE                                    | 6                      | High cost of<br>consultancy<br>Poor<br>performance of<br>the consultant | Detailed TOR and<br>work adequately<br>scoped  | Completion of TOR and<br>scope of work<br>document                             | \$2,000                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1.3 Procure<br>and install<br>solar charging<br>stations               | GCF   | MoF<br>DoE                             | 12                     | High cost of<br>items<br>Scheduling risk                                | No additional time<br>or funds are<br>required | Delivery schedule for<br>items,<br>Workplan,<br># of persons using<br>stations | \$264,500                       |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |     |                       |    | Vandalism  | Equipment is<br>installed and<br>working                                    |  |             |
|--|--|-----|-----------------------|----|--|---|--|-------------|
|  | 1.4 Procure<br>Electric<br>vehicles  | GCF | MoF<br>DoE            | 12 | High cost of item<br>Scheduling risk<br>Destabilization<br>of car<br>dealerships | No additional time<br>or funds are<br>required<br>Vehicles are<br>purchased | Delivery schedule for<br>purchased items<br>Invoices<br># of vehicles purchased  | \$1,150,000 |
|  | 1.5 Document<br>and publish<br>Project<br>Results and<br>findings  | GCF | MoF<br>DoE<br>APUA    | 6  | Not written to<br>acceptable<br>standard<br>Insufficient data<br>collected       | Document<br>Publishable   | Updates from review<br>committee/stakeholder                                     | \$4,000     |
| Action 2<br>Develop a<br>training<br>module for Evs<br>and solar | 2.1 Consult<br>key<br>stakeholders<br>on the needs<br>for training   | GCF | DoE<br>MoF<br>ABICE   | 6  | Timing/getting participants  | # Participant's<br>feedback   | Scope of training to be done   | \$8,900     |
| system   | 2.2 Design<br>program and<br>identify a list<br>of supporting<br>infrastructure<br>and technical<br>needs such as<br>equipment,<br>lab space<br>upgrades and<br>teaching<br>material | GCF | DoE<br>MoEdu<br>ABICE | 18 | Funding,<br>overbearing<br>curriculum,<br>resources<br>available on<br>time      | # Resources<br>produced in used,<br>upgrade of lab<br>facilities            | Equipment purchased<br>and material developed<br>is sufficient for<br>Curriculum | \$15,000    |

|                          | 2.3 Procure<br>material and<br>Equipment                                    | GCF  | DoE<br>MoF                               | 12 | Time<br>scheduling,<br>availability of<br>funds                       | List of Equipment<br>and software   | Equipment in use  | \$575,000 |
|--------------------------|---|------|--|----|---|---|---|-----------|
|                          | 2.4 Pilot<br>program with<br>scholarships                                   | GoAB | DoE<br>MoF                               | 36 | Availability of<br>funds<br>Interested<br>applicants                  | # Scholarship<br>given  | Scholarship<br>programme launched   | \$100,000 |
|                          | 2.5 Course<br>evaluation and<br>revision                                    | GoAB | MoEdu<br>ABICE<br>Accreditation<br>Board | 6  | Bureaucracy in the evaluation   | Reviewers'<br>evaluation<br>documents   | Improved teaching<br>modalities<br>International<br>recognition of program      | \$2,500   |
| test driving<br>campaign | 3.1 Engage<br>stakeholders<br>in the<br>development<br>of a project<br>plan | GEF  | DoE<br>Min Transport                     | 6  | Timing/getting<br>participants,<br>limited<br>stakeholder<br>response | A project plan<br>from derived from<br>consulting with<br>stakeholders                | List of stakeholder's<br>inputs and different<br>drafts of the projects<br>plan | \$4,450   |
|                          | 3.2 Create<br>advertising<br>material for<br>the driving<br>campaign        | GEF  | DoE<br>Min Transport                     | 6  | A small number<br>of persons see<br>advertisements                    | A variety of<br>materials are<br>created and<br>advertised on a<br>different platform | copies of media<br>coverage documented  | 3,000     |
| 3<br>Si<br>a             | 3.3 Host Event  | GEF  | DoE<br>Transport<br>Board                | 3  | Low stakeholder participation   | #Participant's<br>feedback  | Media coverage, report  | \$29,900  |
|                          | 3.4 Conduct<br>surveys pre<br>and post the<br>campaign for                  | GEF  | DoE,<br>Transport<br>Board               | 3  | Lack of participants  | Number of surveys<br>completed  | Data collected  | \$5,000   |

|   |  |      | · · ·  |    | ·  |  | Total   | \$1,462,400 |
|---|--|------|--|----|--|--|---|-------------|
|   | 4.3 Other<br>identified<br>policy and<br>enabling<br>environment<br>activities to<br>disseminate<br>the<br>information | GoAB | Customs and<br>Excise<br>Division,<br>DoE              | 12 | Lack of<br>coordination<br>between laws<br>and policies                        | Survey pre- and<br>post-adoption of<br>legislation                                 | new regulations<br>developed and passed;<br>increased public<br>awareness and<br>approval | \$6,900     |
|   |  |      |  |    | Public outcry-<br>loss of political<br>support                                 |  |   |             |
| Action 4<br>Pass legislation<br>o regulate the<br>mportation of<br>CE vehicles. | 4.2 Draft edits<br>to Amending<br>External<br>Trades Act for<br>the banning of<br>ICE vehicles.                        | GoAB | DoE,<br>Customs and<br>Excise<br>Division,<br>Mo, ABBS | 48 | Insufficient local<br>expertise<br>Resistance from<br>the national<br>assembly | Draft notes of<br>input from<br>stakeholders,<br>Gazette copy of<br>law/regulation | Regulations drafted<br>with input from<br>stakeholders                                    | \$12,000    |
|   | personsthattook part4.1 Review oftheTaxStructure   | GoAB | DoE,<br>Customs and<br>Excise<br>Division,<br>Mo, ABBS | 3  | Public outcry-<br>loss of political<br>support                                 | Drafted<br>amendment to be<br>implemented  | Annual financial figures<br>based on new tax<br>structure                                 | \$3,000     |

# 3.3 ACTION PLAN FOR EFFICIENCY IN TRANSPORT

## 3.3.1 INTRODUCTION

The transportation sector accounts for approximately one-quarter of all energy-related carbon dioxide emissions, with this number anticipated to rise by 2050. Antigua and Barbuda's scenario exemplifies the worldwide issue. As a small island developing state (SIDs), its GHG emission is 0.0015 per cent of the global total.<sup>40</sup>

Efficiency in the transport sector was proposed in the TNA as a viable option to reduce the GHG emission on the island by implementing emissions policies, standards, and regulations for the importation of used internal-combustion vehicles on the island. These technologies would be able to assist in the transition of electric vehicles.

### 3.3.2 AMBITIONS OF EFFICIENCY IN TRANPORT

The overall intention of the Government of Antigua and Barbuda (GOAB) is to revise the vehicular emission standards and import regulations for the new and used car market to reduce annual GHG emissions by 2030. The island is in the progress of reducing its GHG emissions by revising their conditional NDC mitigation targets, which include establishing efficiency standards for imported vehicles and ban of internal combustion engine vehicles as the country transitions to electric vehicles. A reduction of 133,300 tCO2 eq year is expected.

# 3.3.3 ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR EFFICIENCY IN TRANSPORT

The second step of the TNA, the Barrier Analysis and Enabling Framework (BAEF) introduced the barriers to the introduction of efficiency in the transport sector, as well as relevant and viable measures to overcome these barriers. These barriers and measures are listed in the table below.

Table **53** provides a summary of the identified barriers and measures for efficiency in transport. The table summarizes the barriers to the diffusion of efficiency of the transport sector and potential measures to address each barrier. Antigua and Barbuda has a large fleet of **54**,891 vehicles. Most of the vehicles were manufactured more than ten years ago. New vehicles especially electric vehicles are inherently more expensive than older cars as they meet new emissions standards in Antigua and Barbuda. To combat this barrier, the island could provide tax support for those who wish to transition to meet the NDC mitigation goal of a full fleet of electric vehicles by 2045.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fuel combustion - Highlights (2019 edition), IEA 2019.

In addition, Antigua and Barbuda has no policy regulating the emissions from vehicles entering the country. There are no restrictions on the age of the vehicles being imported, making them high emitters of CO<sub>2</sub>. The government is considering introducing new laws to address the issue.

| CATEGORIES             | IDENTIFIED BARRIERS  | MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS   |  |  |  |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Economic and financial | Low emission vehicles are inherently more expensive than ICE vehicles  | Providing concessionary financial support to aid in the transition to low emission vehicles.      |  |  |  |
| Legal and regulatory   | <ul> <li>Lack of policies to monitor the emission from vehicles</li> <li>No restriction on the age of vehicles being imported</li> </ul> | Restriction/limit on vehicle importations based on age and enforce emission standards on vehicles |  |  |  |

Table 54 shows the evaluation of the measures considered in the TAP. These measures are based on the Barrier Analysis Enabling Framework (BAEF) Report. The factor used to assess each barrier is benefits and cost, sustainability, cost-effectiveness and interaction with other measures. From the measures selected a final selection of measures were presented in the TAP as seen in Table **55** 54. The action and activities for each measure were explained and identified as seen in Table 56 and 57.

| MEASURES TO OVERCOME<br>BARRIERS  | Assessment<br>One of the key priorities of this measure is to support the<br>transition to a cleaner, affordable, and more sustainable<br>transport sector in the form of funding for low emission<br>vehicles. This is expected to make the imports of ICE vehicles<br>and dependency on fossil fuel less. The scale-up of<br>concessionary financing for low carbon and energy-efficient<br>vehicles would shift the population from buying ICE vehicles and<br>move into a more affordable low emission vehicle market. |      |  |
|---|--|------|--|
| Providing concessionary<br>financial support to aid in<br>the transition to low<br>emission vehicles.         |  |      |  |
| Restriction/limit on<br>vehicle importations<br>based on age and enforce<br>emission standards on<br>vehicles | This measure is crucial to aid the government in how to manage<br>used and new ICE vehicles importation into the island. In<br>addition, creating regulations that reduce the importation of<br>used vehicles into the island would reduce the GHG emission.   | High |  |

#### Table 54: Assessment of Measures for Efficiency in Transport

#### Table 55: Final Selection of Measures to be Included as Actions in TAP for Efficiency in Transport

| CATEGORIES             | IDENTIFIED MEASURES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS   | MEASURES SELECTED AS ACTIONS FOR<br>INCLUSION IN TAP |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Economic and financial | Providing concessionary financial support to aid in the transition to low emission vehicles. | Concessionary financing for low emission vehicles    |

| regulatory based on age and enforce emission standards need | ablish standards and policies<br>ded to scale up the use of low<br>ission vehicles |
|---|--|
|---|--|

#### Table 56: Description of the Selected Actions for Project ideas for Efficiency in Transport

| Action 1: | Concessionary financing for low emission vehicles: Funds will be provided to the public and private consumers through external agencies and banks.   |
|-----------|--|
| Action 2: | Establish standards and policies needed to scale up the use of low emission vehicles: This entails regulation for the used car market such as age restriction of used cars, emissions standards and vehicle screening and inspections. |

#### Table 57: Activities for Actions for Efficiency in Transport

| Action 1: Con  | cessionary financing for low emission vehicles  |
|----------------|---|
| Activity 1.1   | Creation of an electric mobility funding and incentivize adaptation of electric vehicles, for example, SIRF Fund. |
| Activity 1.2   | Create a long-term financial plan for implementation for other sources of funding streams such as banks           |
| Action 2: Esta | blish standards and policies needed to scale up the use of low emission vehicles                                  |
| Activity 2.1   | Conduct a feasibility study and data collection on the used and new vehicles fleet                                |
| Activity 2.2   | Draft a report to Implement age restriction limit on used car imports less than 5 years                           |
| Activity 2.3   | Draft a report to enforce emission standards  |
| Activity 2.4   | Draft report on disposal of ICE vehicles  |
| Activity 2.5   | Draft a report to ban imports of used ICE vehicles  |
| Activity 2.6   | Draft policies and submit them to the government  |

# 3.3.4 STAKEHOLDERS AND TIMELINES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Table 58 gives shows the role of the various stakeholders for the efficiency in transport TAP.

| NAME & INSTITUTE            | ROLE OF STAKEHOLDER  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Customs and excise Division | This government body is responsible for regulating importation of used and new ICE vehicles and low emission vehicles into the island. It would also assist with the procurement of low emission vehicles. |  |  |  |
| Ministry of Finance         | This ministry would assist in developing a financial plan for the low emission uptake into the island.   |  |  |  |

| Department of Environment<br>(DOE)                | This department would assist in the procurement of low emission<br>vehicles and also draft policies and regulations for the importation<br>of the used car market. |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Car dealerships (new and used markets)            | Private companies are responsible for manufacturing and disbursing low emission vehicles to public and private consumers.  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda Transport<br>Board:           | The main government body is responsible for the transport sector.<br>The main role of this stakeholder will be regulating the use of new<br>and used ICE vehicles. |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda Bureau of<br>Standards (ABBS) | Create standards and limits for vehicle emssions.  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.3.5 SCHEDULING AND SEQUENCING OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES

#### Actions 1: Concessionary financing for low emission vehicles

These activities would take place over 3 years. funding would have to be sourced from various funding institutions and agencies. Following this, a financial plan would have to be carried out.

#### Action 2: Establish standards and policies needed to scale up the use of low emission vehicles

These activities would take 6 years to be implemented. An in-depth feasibility study would have to be carried out to assess the current used and new vehicle fleet in Antigua. For the second to fifth year, various legislation would have to be drafted and reported to the necessary governmental institutions and if successful be implemented to the public. This action would take the longest amount of time due to the fact; all policies and regulations go through a rigorous process to be implemented into the island. Policymakers, institutions, public consultants and governmental members would have to be on the same accord for standards and to be implemented.

#### 3.3.6 ESTIMATION OF RESOURCES NEEDED FOR ACTION AND ACTIVITIES

Table 59 breaks down the human resources that would be required.

| Action   | Consultants   | # Of<br>PERSON | # DAYS | Daily<br>RATE<br>(USD) | Cost<br>(USD) |
|----------|---|----------------|--------|------------------------|---------------|
| Action 1 | Develop electric mobility funding and incentivize adaptation of electric vehicles, for example, SIRF Fund     | 2              | 730    | \$250                  | \$365, 000    |
|          | Create a long-term financial plan for<br>implementation for other sources of<br>funding streams such as banks | 3              | 365    | \$200                  | \$219,000     |

#### Table 59: Breakdown of Human Resources Needed

|          |  |   | ·   | Total | \$1,222,750 |
|----------|--|---|-----|-------|-------------|
|          | Review standards and policies and submit them to the government                              | 1 | 365 | \$250 | \$91,250    |
|          | Draft legislation to ban imports of used ICE vehicles  | 1 | 365 | \$250 | \$91,250    |
|          | Draft report on disposal of ICE vehicles recommendations                                     | 1 | 365 | \$250 | \$91,250    |
|          | Draft a legislation to Implement age restriction limit on used car imports less than 5 years | 1 | 365 | \$250 | \$91,250    |
|          | Draft legislation to enforce emission standards  | 1 | 365 | \$250 | \$91,250    |
| Action 2 | Conduct a feasibility study and data collection on the used and new vehicles fleet           | 1 | 365 | \$250 | \$182,500   |

# 3.3.7 MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Table 60 show the risk and contingency planning associated with the efficiency in transport technology.

| Table 60: Risk and Contingencies | Analysis for Efficiency in Transport |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                  |                                      |

| RISK ITEM               | DESCRIPTION   | CONTINGENCY ACTION  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Scheduling Risk         | Activities may take longer than<br>expected to complete.<br>Also, there can be a delay in the<br>implementation of policies and<br>regulations and feasibility studies<br>and data collection | the country and the Prime minister to support the<br>intervention of restriction limits on car imports,<br>emission standards, and ban importation to push<br>for these policies  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Risk          | The expenses of carrying out the<br>aforementioned actions and<br>activities may rise as a result of<br>reasons such as the cost of<br>consultants' fees rising.                              | Apply to international donors and sponsors for<br>external funding for funding that supports e-<br>mobility research and uptake.  |  |  |  |  |
| Behavioural <b>Risk</b> | There could be a lack of<br>commitment and political support<br>to implement policies.<br>Persons are not willing to convert to<br>low emission vehicles and accept<br>policies.              | Strong coordination and Data Collection<br>regarding participants' reluctance to adhere to<br>the policies<br>Sharing of knowledge and awareness with<br>stakeholders to increase the buy-in for the<br>uptake of electric mobility policies and<br>technologies. |  |  |  |  |

# 3.3.8 NEXT STEPS

Table 61 outlines the next steps for the implementation of efficiency in transport technology.

| Immediate<br>Requirements: | Funding from external agencies would be sourced. A consultant should be appointed along with the necessary material, monitoring and evaluation mechanism, budget and finally reviewing and improving the potential policies. |
|----------------------------|--|
| Critical steps:            | Legislation and supportive standards and regulations must be drafted,<br>endorsed, and passed to enable the potential policies to the necessary<br>Ministries.   |

# 3.3.9 TAP OVERVIEW

# Table 62: Overview of TAP for Efficiency in Transport

| Sector  | Transport sector   |                       |  |                           |  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sub-sector  |  |                       |  |                           |  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Technology  | Efficiency in transport  |                       |  |                           |  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Ambition  | The overall intention of the Government of Antigua and Barbuda (GoAB) is to revise the vehicular emission standards and import regulations for the new and used car market to reduce annual GHG emissions by 2030. |                       |  |                           |  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Benefits  | Climate change mitigation  |                       |  |                           |  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | Economic development   |                       |  |                           |  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Action  | Activities to be<br>implemented  | Sources of<br>funding | Responsible<br>body and<br>focal point | Time<br>frame<br>(months) | Risks  | Success criteria  | Indicators for<br>Monitoring of<br>Implementation | Budget per<br>activity |  |  |  |
| Action 1:<br>Concessionary<br>financing for<br>low emission<br>vehicles | Creation of an electric<br>mobility funding and<br>incentivize adaptation of<br>electric vehicles, for<br>example, SIRF Fund   | GoAB, GEF             | DoE,<br>Ministry of<br>Finance         | 24                        | Funds not secured<br>from internal<br>agencies, lack of<br>technical<br>expertise to write<br>the proposal | # Of proposals<br>formulated and<br>approved and<br>submitted for<br>funding<br>consideration | Grant funding<br>approval                         | \$365,000              |  |  |  |
|   | Create a long-term<br>financial plan for<br>implementation for other<br>sources of funding<br>streams such as banks.   | GEF                   | Ministry of<br>Finance                 | 12                        | Funds not<br>secured, available<br>expertise   | # Of interested<br>agencies and<br>institutions   | Funding proposal,<br>funds                        | \$219,000              |  |  |  |

| Action 2:<br>Establish<br>standards and<br>policies             | Conduct a feasibility study<br>and data collection on the<br>used and new vehicles<br>fleet                             | GEF | DoE                                 | 12 | High cost of<br>consultancy   | Large scale<br>implementation of<br>policies  | Report completed<br>on time                               | \$182,500        |
|---|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|---|------------------|
| needed to<br>scale up the<br>use of low<br>emission<br>vehicles | Draft a report and<br>legislation to Implement<br>an age restriction limit on<br>used car imports less than<br>5 years. | GEF | DoE/<br>ABBS/<br>Transport<br>Board | 12 | Legislation may<br>prove difficult to<br>implement and<br>enforce, Delay in<br>funding  | Drafts, and notes<br>from feedback<br>from stakeholder                                  | Legislation drafted<br>with feedback<br>from stakeholders | \$91, 250        |
|   | Draft a legislation to<br>enforce emission<br>standards   | GEF | DoE/<br>ABBS/<br>Transport<br>Board | 12 | Legislation may<br>prove difficult to<br>implement and<br>enforce   | Legislation drafted<br>with feedback<br>from stakeholders                               | Drafts, and notes<br>from feedback<br>from stakeholder    | \$91, 250        |
|   | Draft a report on the disposal of ICE vehicles recommendations  | GEF | DoE/<br>ABBS/<br>Transport<br>Board | 12 | Cost for<br>implementation<br>of<br>recommendations   | Recommendations<br>of disposal<br>strategies<br>applicable to<br>Antigua and<br>Barbuda | Drafts, and notes<br>from feedback<br>from stakeholder    | \$91,250         |
|   | Draft a legislation to ban<br>imports of used ICE<br>vehicles   | GEF | DoE/<br>ABBS/<br>Transport<br>Board | 12 | Legislation may<br>prove difficult to<br>implement and<br>enforce   | Legislation drafted<br>with feedback<br>from stakeholders                               | Drafts, and notes<br>from feedback<br>from stakeholder    | \$91,250         |
|   | Submit legislation draft to the government  | GEF | DoE/<br>ABBS/<br>Transport<br>Board | 12 | Lack of<br>commitment and<br>political support<br>from government<br>Legislation may<br>prove difficult to<br>implement and<br>enforce, | Regulations are<br>laws   | Adoption and implementation of laws.                      | \$91,25 <b>0</b> |

|  |  | Resistance from government |       |             |
|--|--|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
|  |  |                            | Total | \$1,222,750 |

# REFERENCES

2011 Population and Housing Census of Antigua and Barbuda, Statistics Division, Ministry of Finance and Corporate Governance, Antigua and Barbuda. <u>https://antiguaobserver.com/500-led-lights-arrive-for-roadwavs/</u>

4 major health benefits of LED lighting, viewed December 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

https://www.eaton.com/sg/en-us/company/news-insights/lighting-resource/trends/4-major-healthbenefits-of-led-lighting.html

500 LED lights arrive for roadways, viewed September 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

Antigua and Barbuda GCF Funding Proposal, Department of Environment, Antigua and Barbuda.

Antigua and Barbuda GDP 1977-2021, viewed on December 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021, <u>https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/ATG/antigua-and-barbuda/gnp-gross-national-product</u>

Antigua and Barbuda Meteorological Services. Antigua Tropical Cyclones 1851–2018, viewed on September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021. <u>http://www.antiguamet.com/Climate/HURRICANE\_SEASONS/AntiguanStorms.txt</u>

Antigua and Barbuda Renewable Energy Roadmap, IRENA 2021.

Antigua Grid, CM 2017 - 2019

Comparing LEDs vs CFL vs Incandescent Light Bulbs, viewed September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021. <u>https://www.nopec.org/blognewsroom/blog/comparing-led-vs-cfl-vs-incandescent-light-bulbs/</u>

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fuel combustion - Highlights (2019 edition), IEA 2019.

CFL's vs. Halogen vs. Fluorescent vs. Incandescent vs. LED, viewed September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021, <u>https://www.homelectrical.com/cfls-vs-halogen-vs-fluorescent-vs-incandescent-vs-vs-incandescent-vs-incandescent-vs-incandescent-vs-</u>

Combustion of Fuels - Carbon Dioxide Emission: Environmental emission of carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub> when combustion fuels like coal, oil, natural gas, LPG and bio energy, viewed September 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021. <u>https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/co2-emission-fuels-d\_1085.html</u>

GCF. (2017). Green Climate Fund: Antigua and Barbuda Country Program . Incheon, SK: Green Climate Fund.

Gibbs, T (2000) Detaining for hurricanes

Global LED Lighting Products Price Trend viewed September 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021. https://www.ledinside.com/news/2018/8/global\_led\_lighting\_products\_price\_trend

GoAB. (2015). Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC). St. John's: Government of Antigua and Barbuda.

GoAB. (2015). Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC). St. John's: Government of Antigua and Barbuda.

GreenRevolution. (2011). Solar Pump Solutions. Retrieved February 9, 2021, from greenrevolutionItd.com/solar-pumps/

LED Lighting viewed September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021.. https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/save-electricity-and-fuel/lighting-choices-save-you-money/led-lighting

O'Garro, L., & Speek-Warney, V. (2009). GAP ANALYSIS: Children and Climate Change in the Small Island Developing States (SIDS) of the Eastern Caribbean. Christchurch, BB: UNICEF.

Over 14,000 streetlights in Antigua & Barbuda to be replaced with LEDs, viewed September 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

Resilience cost data from applications to the Sustainable Island Resource Framework (SIRF) Fund, Department of Environment, Antigua and Barbuda.

UDP. (2021). Barrier Analysis and Enabling Framework Report - Water, Building and Transport Sectors (Antigua and Barbuda). Copenhagen: UNEP DTU Partnership.

UNICEF. (2017). Annual Report 2017 Eastern Caribbean Multi-Country Programme. Christchurch, BB: United Nations.

What is an LED? A very basic introduction to how a light-emitting diode works, viewed on September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

https://www.ledsmagazine.com/leds-ssl design/materials/article/16701292/what-is-an-led

https://www.caribank.org/newsroom/news-and-events/over-14000-streetlights-antigua-barbuda-be-replaced-leds